

Comparison of three methods of DNA extraction from peripheral blood mononuclear cells and lung fragments of equines

E.M. Santos¹, J.F.R. Paula¹, P.M.C. Motta¹, M.B. Heinemann¹,
R.C. Leite¹, J.P.A. Haddad¹, H.L. Del Puerto² and J.K.P. Reis¹

¹Departamento de Medicina Veterinária Preventiva, Escola de Veterinária,
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil

²Departamento de Patologia Geral, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas,
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil

Corresponding author: E.M. Santos
E-mail: elmaira27@yahoo.com.br

Genet. Mol. Res. 9 (3): 1591-1598 (2010)

Received March 10, 2010

Accepted May 11, 2010

Published August 17, 2010

DOI 10.4238/vol9-3gmr818

ABSTRACT. We compared three different protocols for DNA extraction from horse peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and lung fragments, determining average final DNA concentration, purity, percentage of PCR amplification using β -actin, and cost. Thirty-four samples from PBMC, and 33 samples from lung fragments were submitted to DNA extraction by three different protocols. Protocol A consisted of a phenol-chloroform and isoamyl alcohol extraction, Protocol B used alkaline extraction with NaOH, and Protocol C used the DNAzol[®] reagent kit. Protocol A was the best option for DNA extraction from lung fragments, producing high DNA concentrations, with high sensitivity in PCR amplification (100%), followed by Protocols C and B. On the other hand, for PBMC samples, Protocol B gave the highest sensitivity in PCR amplification (100%), followed by Protocols C and

A. We conclude that Protocol A should be used for PCR diagnosis from lung fragment samples, while Protocol B should be used for PBMC.

Key words: Protocol; DNA; Equine; PBMC; Lung