

Development of polymorphic SSR markers in the razor clam (*Sinonovacula constricta*) and cross-species amplification

Y.H. Dong¹, H.H. Yao¹, C.S. Sun², D.M. Lv¹, M.Q. Li¹ and Z.H. Lin¹

¹Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Aquatic Germplasm Resources, College of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Zhejiang Wanli University, Ningbo, China ²College of Life Sciences, Taizhou University, Linhai, China

Corresponding authors: Z.H. Lin / H.H. Yao

E-mail: zhihua9988@126.com / yaohanhan1020@126.com

Genet. Mol. Res. 15 (1): gmr.15017285

Received August 22, 2015
Accepted October 19, 2015
Published January 26, 2016
DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/gmr.

DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/gmr.15017285

ABSTRACT. Next-generation sequencing provides large-scale sequencing data with relative ease and at a reasonable cost, making it possible to identify a large amount of SSR markers in a timely and cost-effective manner. On the basis of the transcriptome database of *Sinonovacula constricta* obtained by Illumina/Solexa pyrosequencing, 60 polymorphic SSR markers were developed and characterized in 30 individuals. The number of alleles per polymorphic locus ranged from 2 to 7 with an average of 3.75 alleles. The observed and expected heterozygosities varied from 0.050 to 1.000 and from 0.050 to 0.836, respectively. Nineteen loci significantly deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (P < 0.01) after Bonferroni's correction for multiple tests. In addition, interspecific transferability revealed that 20 polymorphic loci in *Solen linearis* were first characterized in this study. To the best of our knowledge, this is the highest number of SSRs in *S. constricta* and the first report of cross-species amplification. These novel polymorphic SSR markers will be particularly useful for conservation

genetics, evolutionary studies, genetic trait mapping, and marker assisted selection in the species.

Key words: *Sinonovacula constricta*; Expressed sequence tags; Single sequence repeats; Cross-species amplification

INTRODUCTION

The razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta* (Lamarck 1818), a member of benthic bivalve species, lives in the lower-to-mid intertidal zones along the coast of the West Pacific Ocean. Because of its excellent flavor and commercial importance, it has become a popular seafood and is the main cultured economic shellfish, with an annual yield of approximately 700,000 t in China. However, with the fast development of clam aquaculture over the past two decades, the wild stocks of *S. constricta* have dramatically decreased due to over-exploitation, and the genetic diversity of cultured populations has also declined (Wang et al., 2005; Yan et al., 2010). Because it is an economically important fishery species, the significant decline of *S. constricta* stocks has led to attention being placed on present knowledge of genetic conservation and stock improvement for natural resource management and sustainable utilization. Molecular markers are useful tools in conservation genetics and permit the analysis of population structure, genetic diversity, and gene flow (Wan et al., 2004).

Microsatellite markers or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) are one of the most powerful markers in genetic research and are used to determine genetic diversity, for paternity assessment, genome mapping, and in association studies. SSRs have been developed in a wide number of bivalve species, such as Zhikong scallop *Chlamys farreri* (Zhan et al., 2008), Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Li et al., 2011), hard clam *Meretrix meretrix* (Wang et al., 2011), and blood clam *Tegillarca granosa* (Dong et al., 2012). Furthermore, expressed sequence tag-derived SSRs (EST-SSRs) can be associated with a function and linked more easily to a phenotypic trait of interest, making them useful for functional diversity studies (Tranbarger et al., 2012). Recently, a large number of ESTs were identified from the *S. constricta* transcriptome using 454 pyrosequencing, providing valuable resources for the efficient characterization of EST-SSR markers (Niu et al., 2013). Although, to date, a total of 70 polymorphic SSRs in *S. constricta* have been isolated and characterized (Niu et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015), only 14 EST-SSRs are available, which are not adequate for genetic studies.

In the current study, we report 60 polymorphic EST-SSR in *S. constricta* and their cross-species transferability. These novel SSR markers will be particularly useful for conservation genetics, evolutionary studies, genetic trait mapping, and marker-assisted selection in this species.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Genomic DNA was extracted from the adductor muscle of 30 adult individuals of *S. constricta*, collected from Ninghai Zhejiang Province, China, using the standard proteinase digestion and phenol-chloroform extraction method (Sambrook and Russell, 2002). The quality and concentration of total DNA were examined on 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis and by the OD_{260}/OD_{280} ratio using a NanoVue UV/visible spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare UK Limited, UK). DNA templates were diluted with ultrapure water to obtain a 100 ng/ μ L final concentration.

Previously, we sequenced a comprehensive transcriptome of *S. constricta* using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Putative SSR markers were screened using the SSRHNUTER program (Li and Wan, 2005). Primers flanking microsatellites were designed by the Primer Premier 5.0 software.

PCR amplifications were performed in a 20-µL reaction mixture containing 0.5 U *Taq* DNA polymerase (Takara), 1X PCR buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 µM each forward and reverse primers, and 100 ng template DNA. The PCR was carried out in a Mastercycler Pro S thermal cycler under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 s, the primer-specific annealing temperature (Table 1) for 45 s, and extension at 72°C for 45 s with a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 8% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining under UV light. Allele sizes were identified by comparing bands with a 20-bp DNA ladder (MBI Fermentas, USA). The characterized polymorphic loci were examined for cross-species amplification in *Solen linearis* from Zhoushan City, Zhejiang Province, China, to assess their transferability.

All polymorphic SSR-containing unigenes were searched against the NCBI non-redundant (Nr) protein database and Swiss-Prot database using BLASTx with an e-value of 1e-5. The number of alleles ($N_{\rm A}$), observed heterozygosity ($H_{\rm O}$), expected heterozygosity ($H_{\rm E}$), and polymorphic information content (PIC) were calculated using the CERVUS 3.0 program (Kalinowski et al., 2007). The P value for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was estimated using Popgene32 (Yeh et al., 2000). All significant levels were adjusted by the sequential Bonferroni's correction for multiple tests (Rice, 1989).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the 225 SSR-containing unigenes, 124 primer pairs were successfully designed and used to validate the amplification. After optimization of annealing temperature, 84 primer pairs (67.74%) cleanly and consistently amplified the expected products. Of the 84 primer pairs, 60 SSR loci were found to be polymorphic in the population of *S. constricta* (Table 1), resulting in a polymorphic percentage of 71.43%, which was similar to that reported by Jiang et al. (2010) (70.00%) and higher than that reported by Liu et al. (2012) (48.28%). The $N_{\rm A}$ per polymorphic locus ranged from 2 to 7 with an average of 3.75 alleles, which was similar to previous results obtained from EST-SSRs (Liu et al., 2012). The $H_{\rm O}$ ranged from 0.050 to 1.000, while the $H_{\rm E}$ varied from 0.050 to 0.836 (Table 1). The mean PIC was 0.439, ranging from 0.048 to 0.791. Furthermore, 19 highly polymorphic loci (PIC \geq 0.5) and 32 moderate polymorphic loci (0.25 < PIC < 0.5) were found to be suitable for population genetic analyses. In addition, among the 60 polymorphic loci, 27 (45%) identified from annotated genes were expected to be especially valuable for use in functional diversity studies. After Bonferroni's correction for multiple tests, 19 loci were found to significantly depart from HWE (P < 0.01).

EST-SSR markers possess higher transferability due to their conservation characteristics when compared with genomic SSRs (Zeng et al., 2010). After cross-species amplification, 20 reliable SSRs could be amplified successfully and displayed polymorphisms in *S. linearis*, resulting in a transferability rate of 33.33%. The number of alleles of 20 loci in *S. linearis* ranged from 2 to 7

le 1. Characteristics of 60 polymorphic EST-SSRs for the razor clam (Sinonovacula constricta)

Locus	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Repeat motif	Ta (°C)	Size (bb)	NA	Ho/HE	PIC	P-HWE	Functional annotations */e- value
comp149939_c0	F: AAATGATGTCGTTTGGTG R: CTGGGTTCTATGCTCAAA	(AC) ₈	53.4	98-125	4	0.800/0.585	0.492	*000000.0	
comp146001_c0	F: CTACTATTTCCGTATGTG R: AAGCGTACTACAAGTTAA	(AT) ₇	53.4	160-183	m	0.400/0.337	0.289	0.783697	
comp131694_c0	F: ATGTCAATCGGTGAAGGAG R: CTATGCTCAGGGATTTGTG	(GAG)s	56.1	180-202	2	0.850/0.501	0.374	0.001394	Transcription factor AP-1
comp150570_c0	F: GTGTTCAGCAATCAGAGTC R: AAAGTATCTGTTAAGCCAT	(TA)e	56.1	243-268	က	0.650/0.489	0.413	0.238446	Uncharacterized protein LOC101854894
comp145914_c0	F: CCTCTTGTTTTCCTTTTC R: ACTGTGACCAAGTCGTTT	(TG) ₈	56.1	290-310	6	0.400/0.347	0.311	0.783697	,
comp147130_c0	F: TGATGTCTAACACGACCAA R: GCACCCTACCTCAATAAAC	(ACC)s	58.7	180-198	2	0.350/0.296	0.247	0.384683	,
comp145652_c1	F: CTTCCCTCTTAGAAAGCATC R: ATAGCGGTGTAAAACCAGTC	(AC) ₆	58.7	140-150	2	0.650/0.450	0.342	0.039898	Nucleolysin TIA-1-like isoform X7
comp150397_c0	F: ATGCCTCCTACATCAGCTC R: GTGTTGGTAGTCTGGGTC	(AAC) ₆	58.7	210-220	2	0.050/0.050	0.048	1.000000	Hypothetical protein DDB_G0286467
comp144588_c0	F:CCACATTGCTCACTGATTAC R: ATTTGTATGAGGGGTGATTT	(TA) ₇	58.7	205-250	4	0.950/0.560	0.444	*000000.0	ı
comp145928_c0	F: ACTGAACACTTGGTCTCCT R: AAGAGCACATCATTTGGTT	(AT) ₈	61.1	158-208	ო	0.900/0.530	0.406	0.005627	1
comp137074_c0	F: TCTACACGCAGTTGAGG R: AATCCGAGCACAGGATAG	(CTT) ₅	58.7	130-140	2	0.150/0.142	0.129	0.769866	Proliferation-associated protein 2G4
comp152107_c0	F: GGGCAATCCTTCCACAGT R: TTACAACGCCGCTACACG	(CTA) ₅	58.7	198-230	ო	0.100/0.099	0.094	0.998828	Glutathione S-transferase A
comp154232_c4	F: TTCCCAGCAAGATGGATAGT R: TTCATCTTTGGAAACACCCT	(AT) ₈	58.7	240-250	2	0.150/0.142	0.129	0.769866	Hypothetical protein CGI_10025513
comp155529_c0	F: CCACCTCCTCGCCTGTAA R: GCAAGGTACGACCAAAGC	(CCA)8	63.1	160-200	2	0.950/0.512	0.374	0.000084*	Probable splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 6
comp151831_c0	F: CCCTTACCTCCTTTATTGT R: ACTCTTTAATCCAGCCAAG	(TA) ₆	58.7	150-180	4	0.150/0.146	0.139	0.999987	Sialic acid binding lectin
comp154472_c0	F: ATTACATCACGGAGTTGC R: ATCAATGACACGCCAGAC	(ATT) ₆	61.1	165-175	2	0.050/0.050	0.048	1.000000	Protein Star
	F: CCGACATTACGATATTCAG R: GAGGCTTGAAGTACATTTT	(AAC) ₅	56.1	190-240	ო	0.950/0.627	0.543	0.001455	1
comp154079_c0-1	F: TCCCGAGATTTGCGATAC R: TAATGCGGCAGAATGAAA	(AC) ₈	56.1	145-175	သ	0.950/0.639	0.551	0.000322*	Protocadherin Fat 4-like
comp154079_c0-2	F: TAAGGGACTTTCTTGGAAT R: TATCGCAGGAATAGTTGTA	(TA)e	56.1	280-310	2	0.850/0.501	0.369	0.001394	Protocadherin Fat 4-like
comp155403_c0	F: AAAAGTGCCGAGCCTGAT R: GGGCCAGTGGTATGTTGC	(AC) ₉	64.5	330-360	4	1.000/0.753	0.685	*000000.0	1
comp153848_c0	F: GAATAGCCATTATGTAACCC R: AGACAGAAAAGGACAAACTC	(AT)8	56.1	90-115	ഹ	0.750/0.600	0.528	0.000641*	-
	F: CACGAGAATGTAAGGGTA R: CGTCAACTTTATTTTGCT	(GT) ₉	53.4	105-140	က	0.600/0.782	0.723	*000000.0	-
comp153486_c0	F: AGAGCGTGCTTCGTGGTG R: ATGGGAGGTTCGGGAGA	(CAG)7	64.5	280-330	4	0.550/0.701	0.626	0.071736	Nuclear receptor subfamily 0 group B member 1
comp153084_c0	F: TTTCCCTATGCTGTGCTA R: GTGTCTGTCTGACCTGCT	(CAT) ₅	56.1	208-230	ო	0.800/0.528	0.424	0.040917	Pleckstrin-like protein domain-containing family F member 2
comp151043_c0	F: GAAAGGATGTTACCACCAC R: GTTCAATCATGCTTTGGAT	(ATC) ₅	63.1	290-315	7	0.600/0.513	0.375	0.435383	Ras-related protein Rab-1A
comp153741_c0	F: ATACTCAAAATTCTGCTGGGACC R: TAGAATGTGGTGAGGATTTAGGG	(AT) ₆	56.1	238-265	ഹ	1.000/0.722	0.651	0.003205	Elongation factor Ts
comp153647_c0	F: TGGGGACATACATACTCTA R: GAAAACTTTACTTCCTTGA	(TA)7	50.8	80-105	4	0.400/0.414	0.366	0.001272	Tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 61F
comp153643_c1	F: GCTCAAGAACTGACATTATTAT R: ACACTTCCATCTGTACATTATC	(TA) ₇	58.7	110-130	4	1.000/0.605	0.504	*000000.0	1
comp153526_c0	F: GGCACCTCAACAATCTGAAC R: ATCCAAGAAGCCCTCTAAAA	(TGT)s	56.1	140-165	ო	0.400/0.337	0.289	0.783697	Regulator of G-protein signaling 12
comp155384_c0	F: AATGCTTGGACGTTGTTTAT R: TTCTCCCTATTTGAAACTGA	(AT)s	53.4	140-180	m	0.700/0.497	0.406	0.149810	-
comp153621_c1	F: TCATAAGGAGGATTTCGT R: TACAACCCCACTTCATTT	(TG) ₈	56.1	120-140	4	0.950/0.560	0.444	*000000.0	1
comp147145_c0	F: ATGCTTCATAGAAAAGTCAGT	(TG) ₇	61.1	240-270	3	0.800/0.569	0.491	0.040917	Hypothetical protein CGI_10025397

F. GTGTGGGGTGGGTGGGTGGGTGGGTGGGGGGGGGGG		P. AACATCAACAACAACTTAATA								
Hard Color First Color Col	comp144354_c0	F: CTATGGGAAAGGAAGAT	(AC) ₈	56.1	190-220	4	0.600/0.481	0.428	0.768076	3-mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase-like
Heart F. AAATICAARACAAATCA TROGGAAACA	:omp143020_c0	F: TTCTGGTGCTGAATCTTATC R: TCAACCCACTTACATTACTT	(TA)s	56.1	190-210	4	0.250/0.355	0.329	0.000046*	
	:omp140441_c2	F: AACAAAGACATATCGGGAAAA R: AAATACAATCAAGAGGAAAGC	(TA)	58.7	210-228	ო	0.500/0.472	0.410	0.060376	1
State F. GEOCTORACATICITICAN (AC)e S5.4 210.228 3 0.9000.0530 0.406 0.006627 State F. GEOCTOCAGAATICITICAN (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.9000.0530 0.406 0.006164 State F. GEOCTOCAGAATICATICATA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.2500.061 0.578 0.004164 State F. COLAGGAATICATICATA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.2500.061 0.506 0.006119 State F. COLAGGAATICATICATA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.2500.061 0.506 0.006119 State F. COLAGGAATICATICATA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.2500.061 0.506 0.006119 State F. COLAGGAATICATICATA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.2500.061 0.506 0.006119 State F. COLAGGAATICATICATICATA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.2500.061 0.506 0.006119 State F. COLAGGAATICATICATA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 3 0.2500.061 0.506 0.006119 State F. COLAGGAATICACTICAGAGACTA (TTO)e S5.1 2.00.220 5 0.8500.075 0.441 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000	:omp154150_c0	F: AGTGTAAGAATAAGCCAGTG R: TGATGGAGTTAAATCGTAGT	(ATT)s	58.7	230-245	4	0.150/0.481	0.428	0.000111*	Acidic leucine-rich nuclear phosphoprotein 32 family member A
	:omp151588_c0	F: TGCCTTGACATACTTGAT R: GTGTCCAGGAATTGTCTC	(AC) ₈	53.4	210-228	ო	0:300/0:230	0.406	0.005627	
EACH Compact Compact	omp153401_c1	F: GTGAACAGTCTGGTGGAT R: TCGAGGCAATGATAGTTT	(TTG) _s	56.1	260-290	4	1.000/0.665	0.579	0.004164	
E3191_0 F. AMINTOCOTICALITICALITY A5.3 120-135 3 0.2500.661 0.506 0.005191	omp154015_c0	F: AGGCAAACTTGTTCATCAT R: CAAACCTAATGGCATTGTT	(TTG) ₅	56.1	200-220	ო	0.650/0.537	0.455	0.019436	Cisplatin resistance-associated overexpressed protein
F. A. C.	omp152191_c0		(TTG) ₆	45.3	120-135	ო	0.250/0.601	0.506	0.005119	
E. CALCACACTICACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	omp153157_c3		(TA) ₇	45.3	180-208	ო	1.000/0.559	0.441	0.000273*	
Expression F. AGGAMTICACATTICAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	omp152312_c0	F: CGACGAAGTTACCTGAGAAGA R: GAACACTCCGACAAAGACCTA	(AT) ₆	63.1	270-295	4	0.550/0.545	0.452	*0000000	1
F. OTTOPAPACOCTIVETING F. OTTOPAPACOCTICGNA F. OTTOPAPACOCTICG	omp155173_c1	F: AAGAAATGACATCTGCTA R: ACAGTGTAGGGTTCAGTG	(AT) ₇	53.4	185-220	2	0.850/0.705	0.644	0.001209	Glycine-rich protein
F. ATICAGCAACTATACTATACCT T. CAACAACCTCTATACTTATACTCTAGA T. CAACAACAACTCTAGATA T. CAACAACAACTCTAGATA T. CAACAACAACTCTAGATA T. CAACAACAACTCTAGATA T. CAACAACAACTCTAGATA T. CAACAAACAACTCTAGATA T. CAACAAACAACTCTTAGATA T. CAACAAACAACTCTTAGATA T. CAACAACAACTCTTAGATA T. CAACAACAACTCTTAGATA T. CAACAACAACTCTTTAGTTAGATACTCTAGATACTCTAGATAGA	omp153794_c0	F: TGTTGTAATGCCTTGTTG R: GTGAGAAGACCTTGGAG	(TC) ₈	50.8	150-178	2	0.250/0.572	0.497	0.005781	-
Head-of-of-of-of-of-of-of-of-of-of-of-of-of-	omp152512_c0	F: ATTGTAGCCAAAATAGATGAC R: AAGCAAACTATACCTATCAGA	(TGG) ₆	45.3	278-330	4	0.850/0.647	0.575	*000000.0	Similar to thiopurine S-methyltransferase
F. ATCCAAACACTACTGTT	omp140874_c0	F: TTCAACAAGCGTCATAACTCTGGT R: TGGATTTTCCTGGTCAATAACTTT	(AT) ₆	58.7	260-295	S	0.550/0.533	0.471	0.884628	
F. GGGAACACACTATITICGA F. GGGAACACACACTATITICGA F. GEAACACATACTATICGA F. GGGAACACACTATITICGA F. GTGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACGACGACGATGACCATGATGACGACGACGACGATTGACGCACATGATGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACGACG	omp145632_c1	F: ATTCTCGCTCCCTACTGT R: CTTGCGTTCATCTTTGTT	(TGA)7	58.7	285-320	4	0.350/0.453	0.406	0.000143*	Uncharacterized protein LOC101237262
F. TICANICACOGO, CANTONICACACACT (ATO) s 64.5 165.165 3 0.3000/268 0.238 0.917126	omp150866_c0	F: GGGAACAACACTATTTCG R: GATGCTACAATAGTGGCTCA	(AC) ₆	58.7	280-315	4	0.900/0.585	0.492	0.050131	•
F. TGCGAAGCATTGTGCCCCCCCTTTA CACA E4.5 280-305 5 0.0500.0430 0.397 0.000000 Calponin-like protein	omp149438_c0	F: ATGATGACGACGATGACAAGAGC R: CTTTAATGCGGCAGGATTAGTGT	(ATG)5	64.5	165-185	ო	0.300/0.268	0.238	0.917126	1
145-166 P. TGTCAAACTCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	omp130865_c0	F: GCCAATGTATCCTCACCCA R: TCAGGGAAGTTCTTGTGCC	(AGA)8	64.5	280-305	2	0.050/0.430	0.397	*0000000	Calponin-like protein
F. ATCHAGGCCGAGTTGC F. ATCHAGGCCGAGTTGC F. ATCHAGGCCGAGTTGC F. ATCHAGGCCGAGTTGC F. ATCHAGGCCGAGTTGC F. ATGAAGAAGCATTGCGCTGTG F. ATGAAGAAGCATTGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTTGCCAAGCATTGCTCATGCTCATGCTCATGCTTGCT	omp143811_c0	F: TGTCAAAAGTCCGCTCCC R: AAGCCAGGACCATCGTTA	(TA) ₆	64.5	145-185	7	1.000/0.823	922'0	*0000000	1
F. TCTCACACATACACCT P. TCTCACACACCT P. TCTCACACACCT P. TCTCACACACCT P. TCTCACACCT P. TCTCACCT P.	omp148954_c0	F: ATCTTCAGCCCCAGTTGC R: CAAAACAATGCCCCTCTT	(AC) ₇	58.7	260-290	9	0.350/0.636	0.586	0.003788	-
F. TIZACACCACCACTOCTACA F. TIZACACTOCACCACTOCTAC F. TIZACACCACCACTOCTAC F. TIZACACCACCACTOCTACCACTOCTACCACTOCTACCACTOCTACCACTOCTACCACCACTOCTACCACTOCTACCACTOCTACCACTACT	omp154189_c0	F: TCGTCCATGTATGTTGGT R: CTTCACAGCGTGCCTAAT	(TA)8	58.7	300-325	2	0.450/0.719	0.656	0.022402	•
F. TATGGATGGTTCCTCACCTT AT AGGATGGTTTA AT AGGATGGTGGTTTA AT AGGATGGTGGTTA AT AGGATGGTGGTTA AT AGGATGGTGGTGTA AT AGGATGGTGGTGTA AT AGGATGGTGGTGTA AT AGGATGGGTGGTGTA AT AGGATGGGGTGGTGA AT AGGATGGGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGGGTGA AT AGGATGGGGGGTGGGGGTGGGGGGTGGGGGGGGGG	omp154402_c0	F: ATGAACGAAGCCATCTAC R: TATACATACACCCTGTGC	(TTG) _s	58.7	285-325	2	0.450/0.491	0.448	0.920595	
F. GAAGAAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA F. GAACAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA F. GAACAAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA F. GAACAAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA F. GAACAAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA F. GAACAAAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA F. GAACAACACCATTGTCCACACATTGTCCACAGTAACACCCATTGTCCACACATTGTCCACACATTGTCCACACATTGTCCACATTGTCCACATTGTCCACATTGTCCACATTGTCCACATTGTCACACAACAACACCATTGTCACACAACAACACACATTGTCACACAACAACACACATTGTCACACAACAACAACAACAACAACAACAATTGCACACAATTGCACACATTGCACACATTGCACACATTGCACACATTGCACATTGTCACATTACAACAATTGCACACATTGTCACATTACAACAACAATTGCACATTCACACACA	omp154517_c0	F: TTATGGATGTTTCCTCACCT R: ACATTTTAGGAGTTGCTTTA	(AT) ₈	53.4	230-265	ഹ	0.850/0.681	0.603	*0000000	1
F. GTACCAGATACCCATT	omp154118_c1	F: GAAGAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA R: GAAGAAAACCATTGTCCACAGTAA	(TA) ₆	63.1	220-265	သ	0.750/0.731	0.667	0.000089*	1
(5352_00 F. AdTAGACTTGCCTACCG (CTG) ₈ 53.4 165.210 7 0.950/0.836 0.791 0.012845 Phospholipid scramblase R. ATCAGAGGAGCTCGAAGAGCTCAAAAGAGCTCAAAAGAGGCTCAAAAGAGGCTCAAAAGAGGCTCAAAAGAGGCTCAAAAAGAGGCTCCAAAAAAGGGCTCCAAAAAAAGGGGCTCCAAAAAAAGGGGGGGG	omp154403_c0	F: GTACCAGATAACACCCAT R: CAAGGACAAACGCTCTAT	(AC)®	58.7	205-258	9	1.000/0.817	0.767	0.002057	•
48706_c1 F: CTATAGGAGCCTGGCACAATT (AAC)s 64.5 270.310 4 0.550/0.450 0.401 R: AGGAGAGAGAGG R: AGGAGAGAGAGG (AAT)s 58.7 200.210 3 0.250/0.232 0.214 49921_c0 R: CTCCAGGGACTCTAAAAGAAT (AAT)s 58.7 200.210 3 0.250/0.232 0.214	omp153522_c0	F: AATAGAGTTTGGCTACCG R: ATCCAAAGAAGACCTCAA	(CTG) ₈	53.4	165-210	7	0.950/0.836	0.791	0.012845	
49921_00 F: CTCCAGGGACTCTAAAAGAAT (AAT)s	omp148706_c1	F: CTATACGAGCCTCGCTGCACAATT R: AGGATGACATAGAAGAGG	(AAC)s	64.5	270-310	4	0.550/0.450	0.401	0.860108	
	omp149921_c0	F: CTCCAGGGACTCTAAAAGAAT R: CCATCTATAAGACTTCCAAAA	(AAT) ₅	58.7	200-210	ო	0.250/0.232	0.214	0.956353	1

Ta = annealing temperature; N_A = number of alleles; H_0/H_E = observed heterozygosity/expected heterozygosity; PIC = polymorphic information content; P-HWE = P value of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (*show the significantly departed from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium). *The SSR-containing unigenes were annotated using BLASTx against Nr or Swiss-Prot database with an e-value cutoff of le-5.

with an average of 3.35 alleles, which was slightly higher than the average number of alleles in *S. constricta*. From the genetic parameters of PIC, $H_{\rm o}$, and $H_{\rm E}$, we found that the genetic diversity and heterozygosity of *S. constricta* was higher than that of *S. linearis* (Table 2).

Locus		S.	constricta	(N = 30)				S. linearis (N	= 30)	-
	NA	Ho	HE	PIC	P-HWE	NA	H ₀	H⊨	PIC	P-HWE
comp147130_c0	2	0.350	0.296	0.247	0.384683	4	0.222	0.386	0.351	0.015161
comp144354_c0	4	0.600	0.481	0.428	0.768076	2	0.833	0.500	0.368	0.003551
comp152191_c0	3	0.250	0.601	0.506	0.005119	2	0.833	0.513	0.374	0.006319
comp153643_c1	4	1.000	0.605	0.504	0.000000	3	0.611	0.465	0.389	0.373985
comp153647_c0	4	0.400	0.414	0.366	0.001272	3	0.389	0.338	0.300	0.830418
comp153794_c0	5	0.250	0.572	0.497	0.005781	7	0.778	0.722	0.677	0.903583
comp153157_c3	3	1.000	0.559	0.441	0.000273	4	0.222	0.303	0.280	0.083754
comp153486_c0	4	0.550	0.701	0.626	0.071736	2	0.944	0.513	0.374	0.000236
comp153848_c0	5	0.750	0.600	0.528	0.000641	2	0.333	0.413	0.321	0.394021
comp154472_c0	2	0.050	0.050	0.048	1.000000	2	0.556	0.508	0.372	0.682107
comp145652_c1	2	0.650	0.450	0.342	0.039898	3	0.556	0.446	0.386	0.502284
comp153401_c1	4	1.000	0.665	0.579	0.004164	4	0.389	0.344	0.314	0.989777
comp154015_c0	3	0.650	0.537	0.455	0.019436	2	0.889	0.514	0.375	0.001473
comp153526_c0	3	0.400	0.337	0.289	0.783697	3	0.111	0.110	0.104	0.998610
comp145914_c0	3	0.400	0.347	0.311	0.783697	5	0.222	0.464	0.421	0.007320
comp154079_c0-2	2	0.850	0.501	0.369	0.001394	3	0.222	0.208	0.190	0.976673
comp153677_c1	3	0.950	0.627	0.543	0.001455	2	0.667	0.457	0.346	0.043775
comp155403_c0	4	1.000	0.753	0.685	0.000000	4	0.722	0.618	0.581	0.105114
comp131694_c0	2	0.850	0.501	0.374	0.001394	5	0.556	0.451	0.396	0.992839
comp155529 c0	2	0.950	0.512	0.374	0.000084	5	0.611	0.735	0.684	0.211279

 $N_{\rm A}$ = number of alleles; $H_{\rm O}$ = observed heterozygosity; $H_{\rm E}$ = expected heterozygosity; PIC = polymorphic information content; P-HWE = P value of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

3.350 0.533

In summary, we report the highest number of EST-SSRs in *S. constricta* to date. All of the SSR primers in this study will be useful for conservation genetics, comparative mapping, evolutionary biology, and molecular breeding in the species.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

3.200 0.645 0.505 0.426

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported by grants from the Modern Agro-Industry Technology Research System (#CARS-48), the National Infrastructure of Fishery Germplasm Resources Programme (#2015DKA30470), the Ningbo Natural Science Foundation (#2010A610008), and the Zhejiang Provincial Top Key Discipline (#KF20140004).

REFERENCES

Dong YH, Yao HH, Lin ZH, Zhang LL, et al. (2012). Characterization of 62 polymorphic EST-SSR markers in the blood clam (*Tegillarca granosa*) and their cross-ampliÿcation in *Scapharca subcrenata*. *Conserv. Genet. Resour.* 4: 991-997. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12686-012-9691-9

Jiang Q, Li Q, Yuan Y and Kong LF (2010). Development and characterization of 14 polymorphic microsatellite loci in the razor clam (*Sinonovacula constricta*). *Conserv. Genet. Resour.* 2: 81-83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12686-010-9185-6

Kalinowski ST, Taper ML and Marshall TC (2007). Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Mol. Ecol.* 16: 1099-1106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03089.x

- Li Q and Wan JM (2005). [SSRHunter: development of a local searching software for SSR sites]. Yi Chuan 27: 808-810.
- Li Q, Wang QZ, Qi MJ, Ge JL, et al. (2011). Development, characterization, and inheritance of 113 novel EST-SSR markers in the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). *Genes Genomics* 33: 313-316. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13258-011-0021-5
- Liu B, Shao YQ, Teng SS, Chai XL, et al. (2012). Characterization, development and utilization of EST-derived microsatellites in *Sinonovacula constricta*. *Oceanol. Limnol. Sin*. 43: 132-137.
- Ma HT, Jiang HB, Liu XQ, Wu XP, et al. (2015). Polymorphic microsatellite loci for the razor clam, *Sinonovacula constricta*. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 14: 145-148. http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.January.15.17
- Niu DH, Li JL and Zheng RL (2008). Isolation and sequence characterization of microsatellite DNA in razor clam (Sinonovacula constricta). P. Ocean Univ. China 38: 733-738.
- Niu D, Wang L, Sun F, Liu Z, et al. (2013). Development of molecular resources for an intertidal clam, *Sinonovacula constricta*, using 454 transcriptome sequencing. *PLoS One* 8: e67456. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0067456
- Rice WR (1989). Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43: 223-225. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2409177
- Sambrook J and Russell DW (2002). Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual. 3rd edn. Science China Press, Beijing, 463-471.
- Tranbarger TJ, Kluabmongkol W, Sangsrakru D, Morcillo F, et al. (2012). SSR markers in transcripts of genes linked to post-transcriptional and transcriptional regulatory functions during vegetative and reproductive development of *Elaeis guineensis*. *BMC Plant Biol*. 12: 1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2229-12-1
- Wan QH, Wu H, Fujihara T and Fang SG (2004). Which genetic marker for which conservation genetics issue? *Electrophoresis* 25: 2165-2176. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/elps.200305922
- Wang DQ, Li TW and Su XR (2005). Comparison of genetic diversity between hatchery stock and wild population of Sinonovacula constricta Lamarck in Xiangshan Bay. J. Fish. Sci. China 12: 138-143.
- Wang H, Huan P, Lu X and Liu B (2011). Mining of EST-SSR markers in clam *Meretrix meretrix* larvae from 454 shotgun transcriptome. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 86: 197-205. http://dx.doi.org/10.1266/ggs.86.197
- Wu XP, Ma HT, Feng YW, Liu XQ, et al. (2014). Isolation of microsatellite loci from razor clam *Sinonovacula constricta* and transferability to related species. *Oceanol. Limnol. Sin.* 45: 1330-1337.
- Yan HW, Li Q, Liu WG, Yu RH, et al. (2010). Seasonal changes in reproductive activity and biochemical composition of the razor clam Sinonovacula constricta (Lamarck 1818). Mar. Biol. Res. 6: 78-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17451000903039756
- Yeh FC, Yang R, Boyle TJ, Ye Z, et al. (2000). PopGene32, Microsoft Windows-based freeware for population genetic analysis. Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Centre, Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton.
- Zeng S, Xiao G, Guo J, Fei Z, et al. (2010). Development of a EST dataset and characterization of EST-SSRs in a traditional Chinese medicinal plant, *Epimedium sagittatum* (Sieb. Et Zucc.) Maxim. *BMC Genomics* 11: 94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-94
- Zhan AB, Bao ZM, Hu XL, Wang S, et al. (2008). Characterization of 95 novel microsatellite markers for Zhikong scallop *Chlamys farreri* using FIASCO-colony hybridization and EST database mining. *Fish. Sci.* 74: 516-526. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-2906.2008.01554.x