

Association of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism with type 2 diabetes, obesity, and metabolic syndrome: a population-based case-control study and a systematic meta-analysis

Y. Liu¹, G. Wu¹, L. Han¹, K. Zhao¹, Y. Qu², A. Xu³ and Q. Huang¹

¹College of Life Sciences, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China ²Wuhan Center of Medical Therapeutics, Wuhan, China ³Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacy, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

Corresponding author: Q. Huang E-mail: huangqy@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

Genet. Mol. Res. 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015) Received August 28, 2014 Accepted January 23, 2015 Published February 6, 2015 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.February.6.19

ABSTRACT. Previous studies have reported associations between the functional *FABP2* Ala54Thr (rs1799883) polymorphism and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), obesity, and metabolic syndrome in different populations with conflicting results. We investigated the association between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and T2DM (235 cases, 431 controls), obesity (377 cases, 431 controls), and metabolic syndrome (315 cases, 323 controls) by logistic regression analysis in a Chinese study cohort recruited from Yichang, Hubei Province. We then comprehensively reviewed the association of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism with T2DM, obesity, and metabolic syndrome via meta-analysis. The strength of association was assessed by odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism was significantly associated with obesity (AT *vs* AA:

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

OR = 2.633, 95%CI = 1.065-6.663, P = 0.036; TT *vs* AA: OR = 4.160, 95%CI = 1.609-10.757, P = 0.003) and metabolic syndrome (TT *vs* AA: OR = 2.273, 95%CI = 1.242-4.156, P = 0.008) by logistic regression with adjustment for covariates. However, no significant association was found between T2DM and the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism. We identified 24 studies on T2DM (4517 cases, 5224 controls), 9 studies on obesity (949 cases, 2002 controls), and 6 studies on metabolic syndrome (2194 cases, 3282 controls) by literature search. The meta-analyses revealed significant associations for metabolic syndrome (T allele: OR = 1.179, 95%CI = 1.015-1.362, P = 0.031) and T2DM (T allele: OR = 1.160, 95%CI = 1.08-1.24, P < 0.001), but no association for obesity (T allele: OR = 1.069, 95%CI = 0.925-1.235, P = 0.367).

Key words: *FABP2*; Metabolic syndrome; Obesity; Meta-analysis; Type 2 diabetes mellitus

INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is defined by a clustering of abdominal obesity, an increased serum concentration of triglycerides, a decreased serum concentration of high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, high blood pressure, and an increased fasting blood glucose level. MetS has become a global health concern over the past few decades. Individuals with MetS have a two-fold higher risk of mortality and a three-fold higher risk of experiencing a cardiovascular event, compared with those without MetS (Cheung et al., 2007). The etiology of MetS is highly complex; both genetic and environmental factors are thought to play an important role. Many genetic polymorphisms might be involved in the pathogenesis of MetS. The genes responsible for the metabolism and transport of lipids, the regulation of arterial blood pressure, the transport, regulation, and metabolism of glucose, hormonal regulation, and other factors might contribute to the development of MetS.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), characterized by hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, impaired insulin secretion, and increased hepatic glucose production, is caused by both hereditary factors and environmental factors such as physical inactivity, unhealthy dietary habits, and obesity. According to the latest statistics from the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), the number of diabetes patients will rise from 366 million in 2011 to 552 million by 2030 (Sanghera and Blackett, 2012). The prevalence of obesity has increased at an alarming rate worldwide over past decades. The increasing prevalence of T2DM and obesity constitutes a major public health problem of the 21st century. As components of MetS, both T2DM and obesity are under strong genetic control.

Fatty acid-binding proteins (FABPs) are members of the super family of small (14-15 kDa) intracellular lipid-binding proteins. Intestinal FABP (I-FABP or FABP2) is one of nine different FABPs identified in mammals, besides liver, heart, muscle, adipocyte, epidermal, ileal, brain, myelin, and testis FABPs. The *FABP2* gene consists of approximately 3.4 kilobases (kb) located in chromosomal region 4q28-4q31, arranged in four exons containing ~700 bp and three introns containing ~2650 bp. FABP2 consists of 131 amino acid residues and has a high content of the β -strand structure. It contains a high affinity-binding site for both saturated and unsaturated long-chain fatty acids, indicating that it might have a role in the absorption and intracellular transport of dietary long-chain fatty acids.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

The most extensively studied polymorphism in the *FABP2* gene is the Ala54Thr (rs1799883) in exon 2 that results from a G to A nucleotide substitution. The Thr54 allelic frequency is 30% in most populations. The Thr-containing protein has a two-fold higher affinity for long-chain fatty acids than the Ala-containing protein (Wanby et al., 2005). The Ala54Thr polymorphism increases free fatty acid transport and triglyceride secretion *in vitro* (Yamau-chi et al., 2010), which are associated with high levels of fasting insulin. Moreover, previous studies have found that *FABP2* is a candidate gene possibly implicated in the pathogenesis of T2DM, MetS, and obesity in different ethnic groups (Table 1).

In this study, we further investigated the association of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism with T2DM, MetS, and obesity in a Han Chinese cohort recruited from Hubei Province and systematically reviewed the association between *FABP2* Ala54Thr and T2DM, MetS, and obesity through a worldwide meta-analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study subjects

All Hubei Han Chinese subjects were recruited by the government-funded physical examination project from Yichang, Hubei Province (Dehwah et al., 2010). A total of 1173 individuals included 377 obese patients (134 males, 243 females, age 47.85 ± 9.23 years) and 431 nonobese people (220 males, 211 females, age 62.15 ± 10.39 years); 315 MetS patients (88 males, 227 females, age 52.15 ± 10.35 years) and 323 controls (171 males, 152 females, age 58.34 ± 10.63 years); and 235 T2DM patients (107 males, 128 females, age 54.09 ± 10.40 years) and 431 controls (220 males, 211 females, age 62.38 ± 10.45 years). The weight, height, and waist and hip circumferences were measured in all individuals. Waist to hip ratio was calculated as waist (cm)/hip (cm). Measured clinical parameters included fasting blood glucose (FBG), 2-h post-prandial blood glucose (PBG), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), to-tal cholesterol, triacylglycerol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and fasting insulin (Table 2).

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated according to the standard ratio of weight (kg) to height squared (m²). We set the cutoff point for obesity at a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² and control subjects had a BMI ≤ 25 kg/m². According to the criteria of the IDF (Alberti et al., 2005), MetS was confirmed when three or more of the following five criteria were satisfied: i) a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²; ii) a serum triglyceride concentration ≥ 1.65 mM (150 mg/dL) or drug treatment for elevated triglycerides; iii) a serum HDL-cholesterol concentration < 1.04 mM (40 mg/dL) for men or < 1.30 mM (50 mg/dL) for women, or drug treatment for reduced HDL-cholesterol; iv) an SBP \geq 130 mmHg, a DBP \geq 85 mmHg, or drug treatment for hypertension; and v) a fasting plasma glucose concentration \geq 5.50 mM (100 mg/dL) or drug treatment for elevated glucose. Control subjects did not meet any IDF criteria for MetS. T2DM was defined according to the 1997 American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria: FBG \geq 7.0 mM (126 mg/dL) and 2-h $PBG \ge 11.1 \text{ mM}$ (200 mg/dL). The subjects with a family history of maturity-onset diabetes of the young, maternally inherited diabetes, gestational diabetes, mitochondrial diabetes, type 1 diabetes, and other obvious chronic diseases, such as hypertension, coronary heart disease, cancer, and so on were excluded. Healthy controls all had FBG < 6.1 mM (110 mg/dL) and 2-h PBG < 7.8 mM; no family history of T2DM in first-degree relatives; normal blood pressure; normal liver and kidney function; and no chronic heart or lung disease. The survey and sampling received consent, and informed agreements were signed by the subjects themselves.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

Vin et a CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(,			Diagnosuc criteria	Gender (M/F)	Age	BMI (kg/m²)	Sample size	SNP Ala54 Inr AA/AT/TT	T allele frequency (%)	Ь
$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{et a}_{\operatorname{CSt}} \\ \operatorname{Mill}_{\operatorname{CSt}} \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{St}} \\ \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{St}} \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{St}} \\ \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{Cst}} \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{Cst}} \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{Cst}} \\ \\ \\ \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{Cst}} \\ \\ \\ \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{Cst}} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{Cst}} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \operatorname{Cst}_{\operatorname{Cst}} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	naleswaran	Indians	Case	ATPIII			I	009	275/259/66	32.6	0.010
$\begin{array}{c} MII \\ MII \\ CSt \\$	ul. (2006)		Control		- 00,70	- c		006	4/6/363/61	26.9	0100
AetS CS(20 CS(CS(20 CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(CS(ller et al.	Indians	Case	ALPIII	84/38	34.5 ± 15.1	$C.C \pm 6.67$	771	6/84/00	17	919.0
Csé AetS (20 (20 0g 0g 0g 0g	(/0)		Control		84/38	04.0 ± 15.5	4.4 ± 0.02	771	09/41/12 	0.02	0070
1etS 1etS 0g 0g 0g 0g 0g 0g 0g	ep et al.	Romanians	Case	IDF			31.79 ± 6.75	144	57/71/16	35.8	0.108
1etS (20 0g (20 0g	(/0	,	Control				20.19 ± 4.24	5/	5/05/05	78.1	
00 00 00	nada et al.	Japanese	Case	AHA/NHLBI	210/131	67.0 ± 9.7	24.5 ± 3.5	341	114/140/57	39.7	0.002
080	08)		Control		473/498	68.2 ± 9.2	25.3 ± 3.2	971	448/405/118	32.9	
(20	uri et al.	Japanese	Case	AHA/NHLBI	597/176	64.8 ± 10.0	25.3 ± 8.0	773	301/356/116	39.4	0.001
	(60		Control		761/353	68.3 ± 8.9	25.4 ± 3.2	1114	515/465/134	32.9	
IUI	kovic et al.	Croatian descent	Case	IDF	95/119	77.6 ± 4.2	29.4 ± 3.44	214	131/70/13	22.4	0.027
(20	12)		Control		45/57	78.8 ± 4.7	26.6 ± 3.7	102	60/36/16	33.3	
Ou	r study	Chinese	Case	DF	88/227	52.15 ± 10.35	28.83 ± 2.75	315	160/116/39	30.8	0.677
(20	14)	Han	Control		171/152	58.34 ± 10.63	21.93 ± 3.33	323	156/142/25	29.7	
Lei	et al.	African-Americans	Case	OHM		53.3 ± 5.7	40.63 ± 4.91	260	159/84/17	22.7	0.946
(19	(66)		Control		,	52.9 ± 5.8	26.99 ± 4.10	992	587/357/48	22.8	
Ito	et al.	Chile Manuche	Case	WHO				30	15/12/3	30	0.249
(19	(66		Control					58	19/33/6	38.8	
Car	Isson et al	Swedes	Case	WHO	15/44	40.5 ± 12.0	41.7 ± 5	59	31/23/5	28	0.710
(20	(00)		Control		28/31	$58.5 \pm 12/2$	23.6 ± 2.6	59	30/21/7	30.2	
He	(2002)	Samoans	Case	WHO	13/81	41.17 ± 7.82	44.04 ± 4.85	94	68/16/5	14.6	0.598
	(=^^=)		Control		47/40	36.94 ± 8.43	$23 73 \pm 2.00$	87	61/18/5	16.7	2
D	arte et al	Ton gan nonulation	Case	WHO				100	53/39/8	575	0.581
00	03)	round of more	Control					100	52/36/12	305	
-11▼	vala et al	Chileans	Case	OHW	0/33	383 + 83	37 2 + 5 6	33	8/10/6	47	0.08
00	04)		Control	01111	0/30	36.4 ± 1.2	375 ± 0.28	08	15/11/4	217	00.0
Macity Tab	rabura et al	Iananece	Control	OHW	0/8/0	58 4 + 0 K	37.0 ± 5.8	00	33/32/15	38.8	100
100 (1000)	05)	andar	Control	01111	0/146	58.7 ± 10.2	21.7 ± 2.3	146	64/68/14	32.0	140
Tar	rridon et al	Caucasians	Connor	OHW	80/80	676 + 0.0	33 0 + 3 5	173	1/02/20	24.2	0.005
00	00)	cumicanano.	Control	01111	157/94	68.7 ± 10.1	264+372	258	144/97/17	15.4	~~~~
(z) Ma	noetal	Chinese	Case	WHO	82/30	55 + 10	>25	121	62/49/10	28.5	0 921
0.0)	11)	Han	Control		142/130	53 + 11	500	626	137/113/22	28.9	
Ċ	r study	Chinese	Case	WHO	134/243	47.85 ± 9.23	29.79 ± 1.45	377	183/152/42	31.3	0.848
(20	14)	Han	Control		220/221	62.15 ± 10.39	21.59 ± 2.99	431	202/192/37	30.9	
Yar	nada et al	Jananese	Case	WHO	32/0	50.5 ± 8.8	24.4 ± 3.0	32	14/13/5	36	0.912
(19	(24)		Control		237/0	50.5 ± 8.8	24.4 ± 3.0	237	96/115/26	35	
2DM Xia	mg et al.	Chinese	Case	OHM	41/38	55 ± 10	26.7 ± 3.30	79	36/36/7	31.6	0.859
(19	98)	Han	Control		44/42	54 ± 9	26.4 ± 4.01	86	39/38/9	32.5	
Ĥu	ang et al.	Chinese	Case	OHM	,	58 ± 10	,	146	51/72/23	40.4	0.068
(19	(66	Han	Control		29/31	54 ± 6		60	29/25/6	30.8	
Xia	ung et al.	Chinese	Case	OHM	33/28	55.8 ± 10.1	26.7 ± 3.1	61	25/30/6	34.4	0.463
(19	(66)	Han	Control		54/62	52.3 ± 11.2	26.5 ± 4.1	116	54/53/9	30.6	

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Y. Liu et al.

Diseases Study	Population	Group	Diagnostic criteria Gen	der (M/F)	Age	BMI (kg/m²)	Sample size	SNP Ala54Thr AA/AT/TT	T allele frequency (%)	Ч
Ito et al.	Japanese	Case	OHM	111/39	56.7 ± 11.0 518+78		150 147	51/76/23	40.7 36.1	0.248
Hayakawa et al.	Japanese	Case	OHW		51.5 ± 7.1		15	6/5/4	43.3	0.336
(1999) Daullu Sanahia	Guodolouno	Control	OHM	-	1.7 ± 0.15	- 	502 00	91/86/28	34.6 42.2	0.01
et al. (1999)	Indians	Control	OHW	56/44	48.9 ± 9.6	25.4 ± 4.30	100	53/33/14	30.5	10.0
Kim et al.	Koreans	Case	OHM		44 ± 6		76	30/38/8	35.5	0.67
(2001)		Control		,	25 ± 3	,	96	44/40/12	33.3	
Wang et al.	Chinese	Case	ADA	44/58	54.9 ± 8.3	ı	102	53/38/11	29.4	0.829
(7001) I in and I n	Han Chinese	Control	OH/W	++/90	0.6 ± 0.00	- 25 26 + 0 28	102 258	49/44/9 116/118/24	30.4 32.7	0 874
(2004)	Han	Control	0114		45.18 ± 0.32	23.76 ± 0.16	308	135/144/29	32.8	170.0
Xiong et al.	Chinese	Case	OHM	37/28	56.8 ± 11.8		65	37/24/4	24.6	0.657
(2005)	Han	Control		16/14	55.5 ± 10.1		30	17/13/0	21.7	
Vimaleswaran	South Indians	Case	OHM	,	51 ± 12	25.1 ± 4.0	773	383/317/73	29.9	0.041
et al. (2006)		Control		,	43 ± 14	23.4 ± 4.6	899	482/353/64	26.75	
Chang et al.	Chinese	Case	OHM	64/72	56 ± 13	27.39 ± 4.4	136	72/44/20	30.8	0.436
(2007)	Han	Control		52/60	51 ± 12	24.72 ± 3.1	112	61/40/11	27.7	
Li et al.	Chinese	Case	OHM		55.7 ± 9.6		300	121/133/46	37.5	0.00
(2010)	Han	Control		55/25	53.8 ± 9.7		80	49/26/5	22.5	
Shi et al.	Chinese	Case	ADA	58/59	61.44 ± 9.69	23.28 ± 1.82	117	32/64/21	45.3	0.029
(2012)	Han	Control		54/54	61.28 ± 11.49	23.28 ± 1.82	108	46/48/14	35.2	
Our study	Chinese	Case	OHM	107/128	54.09 ± 10.40	24.70 ± 4.17	235	120/93/22	29.14	0.516
(2014)	Han	Control		220/211	62.38 ± 10.45	21.04 ± 2.86	431	202/192/37	30.86	
Raza et al.	North Indians	Case	OHM	129/61	41.29 ± 11.39	26.01 ± 4.12	190	35/127/28	48.2	0.671
(2014)		Control		60/50	40.03 ± 10.28	23.99 ± 2.36	110	25/68/17	46.4	
Alharbi et al.	Saudis	Case	OHM	251/187	53.5 ± 10.78	29.9 ± 5.89	438	225/174/39	28.8	0.064
(2014)		Control		242/218	45.99 ± 7.77	29.22 ± 5.58	460	260/171/29	25	
Lei et al.	African-Americans	Case	ADA		54.6 ± 6.0	30.8 ± 5.29	321	190/119/12	22.3	0.769
(6661)		Control			8.6 ± 8.26	20.9 ± 4.10	766	84/1C5/18C	8.77	
Carlsson et al.	Swedes	Case	OHM	200/199	60.1 ± 12.3	27.8 ± 4.7	399	215/160/24	26.06	0.409
(2000)		Control		28/31	58.5 ± 12.2	23.6 ± 2.6	59	31/21/7	29.66	
Tavridou et al.	Caucasians	Cases	OHM	119/123	67.9 ± 8.8	30.5 ± 4.9	242	114/104/24	31.4	0.072
(2009)		Control		122/66	70.3 ± 13.9	28.1 ± 4.1	188	104/71/13	25.8	
Bu et al.	non-Hispanic Whites	s Case	WHO	,	61.8 ± 10.9	30.9 ± 5.3	66	62/31/6	21.7	0.620
(2011)		Control		,	37.1 ± 15.8		66	65/29/5	19.7	
Bu et al.	Hispanic Americans	Case	WHO	,	57.5 ± 10.8	30.5 ± 6.5	97	45/42/10	32	0.03
(2011)		Control			30.5 ± 13.2		66	61/32/6	22.2	
Bu et al.	African-Americans	Case	OHM		54.8 ± 11.1	33.0 ± 8.3	67	56/34/7	24.7	0.600
(2011)		Control			29.2 ± 9.4		100	61/33/6	22.5	

FABP2 and metabolic syndrome

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Diabetes Federation; AHA/NHLBI = American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; WHO = World Health Organization; ADA = American Diabetes Association; T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus; P values <0.05 are shown in bold.

1159

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	P value Case Control P value <0.001 88/227 171/152 <0.001 <0.001 \$8/227 171/152 <0.001 <0.011 155:96 ± 7.99 155.79 ± 7.88 0.778 <0.001 71:96 ± 11.79 53.31 ± 10.44 <0.001 <0.001 71:96 ± 11.79 53.31 ± 10.44 <0.001 <0.001 91.77 ± 6.54 71.03 ± 7.74 <0.001 <0.001 101.39 ± 5.79 87.33 ± 6.17 <0.001 <0.001 101.39 ± 5.79 87.33 ± 6.17 <0.001 <0.001 191.37 ± 5.79 87.33 ± 6.17 <0.001 <0.001 92.94 \pm 13.12 74.49 ± 7.74 <0.001 <0.001 92.94 ± 13.12 74.49 ± 7.74 <0.001 <0.001 92.94 ± 13.12 74.49 ± 7.74 <0.001 <0.001 28.83 ± 2.75 21.93 ± 3.33 <0.001	Case C 107/128 22 54.09 ± 10.40 62.3 156.48 ± 8.13 154.7 60.70 ± 12.30 51.5 80.99 ± 10.25 71.5 80.99 ± 10.25 71.5 92.99 ± 7.54 87.2 13.7.44 ± 24.89 177.1 5.5.4 ± 24.89 5.5.4 ± 24.80 5.5.4 ± 24.80 5.5.5 ± 24.80 5.5.	Control P v 20/211 0 38 ± 10.45 <0 78 ± 8.101 0 78 ± 8.101 0 58 ± 8.524 <0 54 ± 7.841 <0 27 ± 5.956 <0	alue 149 001 001 001 001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10/7128 22 54.09 ± 10.40 62.3 156.48 ± 81.3 154.7 60.70 ± 12.30 51.5 80.99 ± 10.25 71.5 92.99 ± 7.54 87.2 17.14 ± 224.89 177.1 6 5 2 + 12 0.6 6 5 2 + 12 0.6 6 5 2 + 12 0.6 7 2 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	220/211 0 38 ± 10.45 <0. 78 ± 8.101 0 58 ± 8.524 <0. 54 ± 7.841 <0.	149 001 001 001 001 001
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 54.09\pm10.40 & 62.3\\ 156.48\pm8.13 & 154.7\\ 60.70\pm12.30 & 51.5\\ 80.99\pm10.25 & 71.5\\ 92.99\pm7.54 & 87.2\\ 13.74\pm24.89 & 117.1\\ 80.80\pm10.66 & 72.6\\ 87.2 & 87.2\\ 87.2 & 87.2 & 72.6\\ 87.2 $	38 ± 10.45 <0 78 ± 8.101 0 58 ± 8.524 <0 54 ± 7.841 <0 27 ± 5.956 <0	001 001 001 001 001
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	156.48 ± 8.13 154.7 60.70 ± 12.30 51.5 80.99 ± 10.25 71.5 92.99 ± 7.54 87.2 13.7.44 ± 24.89 117.1 6 5 7 24 5 72 6 72 6	$78 \pm 8.101 \qquad 0$ $58 \pm 8.524 \qquad <0$ $54 \pm 7.841 \qquad <0$ $27 \pm 5.956 \qquad <0$	010 001 001 001
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 60.70 \pm 12.30 & 51.5 \\ 60.99 \pm 10.25 & 71.5 \\ 92.99 \pm 7.54 & 87.2 \\ 137.44 \pm 24.89 & 117.1 \\ 65.74 \pm 24.89 & 117.1 \\ \end{array}$	58 ± 8.524 < 0. 54 ± 7.841 < 0. 27 ± 5.956 < 0.	001 001 001
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	80.99 ± 10.25 71.5 92.99 ± 7.54 87.2 137.44 ± 24.89 117.1 65 70 ± 10.06	54 ± 7.841 <0. 27 ± 5.956 <0.	001
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$92.99 \pm 7.54 \qquad 87.2 \\ 137.44 \pm 24.89 \qquad 117.1 \\ 0.5 \ 77 \ 1.06 \ 77 \ 12.1 \\ 12.1 \ $	27 ± 5.956 <0.	001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	137.44 ± 24.89 117.1	0/ 15 24 01	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<pre><0.001 92.94 ± 13.12 74.49 ± 7.74 <0.001 <0.001 28.83 ± 2.75 21.93 ± 3.33 <0.001</pre>	$0 \le 70 \pm 10.06$ 73 0	$10 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.1$	001
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	<0.001 28.83 \pm 2.75 21.93 \pm 3.33 <0.001	07.10 ± 14.70	83 ± 9.39 <0.	001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		24.70 ± 4.17 21.5	54 ± 2.86 <0.	001
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<0.001 5.01 ± 1.76 4.96 ± 0.92 0.845	5.55 ± 1.24 5.8	84 ± 1.74 0.	29
$HDL-cholesterol (mM) \qquad 1.49 \pm 0.54 \qquad 1.71 \pm 0.42 \qquad <0.001 \qquad 1.44 \pm 0.45 \qquad 1.74 \pm 0.41 \qquad <0.001 \qquad 1.44 \pm 0.45 \qquad 1.74 \pm 0.41 \qquad <0.001 \qquad 1.64 \pm 0.41 \qquad <0.001 \qquad =0.001 \qquad =0.001$	<0.001 2.92 \pm 1.96 1.01 \pm 0.31 <0.001	2.33 ± 2.15 1.0	08 ± 0.51 <0.	001
	<0.001 1.44 \pm 0.45 1.74 \pm 0.41 <0.001	1.57 ± 0.51 1.7	73 ± 0.42 <0.	001
LDL-cholesterol (mM) 2.37 ± 0.59 2.04 ± 0.62 < 0.001 2.50 ± 0.61 2.07 ± 0.67 < 0.001	<0.001 2.50 \pm 0.61 2.07 \pm 0.67 <0.001	2.71 ± 2.73 2.1	13 ± 2.17 0.	003
Fasting insulin (mU/mL) 11.80 ± 7.49 6.64 ± 4.91 < 0.001 13.29 ± 10.49 7.02 ± 6.42 < 0.001	<0.001 13.29 \pm 10.49 7.02 \pm 6.42 <0.001	11.56 ± 11.13 7.5	57 ± 15.59 <0.	001

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was obtained from whole blood leukocytes using the standard phenol/ chloroform method. Detection of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism was carried out using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-restriction fragment length polymorphism technique with forward primers: 5'-CTACCGAGTTTTCTTCCCACC-3'; and reverse primers: 5'-AATT AAACCATCCAATGAAATAGAGC-3'. The PCR reaction mixture consisted of 0.5 μ L of each primer (10 μ M) in a total volume of 25 μ L containing 100 ng DNA template (50 ng/ μ L), 0.5 μ L *Taq* DNA polymerase (2 U/ μ L), 2.5 μ L 10X PCR buffer (Mg²⁺ Plus), and 0.5 μ L dNTP mixture. The PCR conditions were as follows. Initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min was followed by 40 cycles of PCR under the following conditions: denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 54°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 30 s. A final extension step at 72°C for 10 min followed the last PCR cycle. The PCR product (6 μ L) was digested by 12 U *HhaI* restriction enzyme at 37°C overnight. The AA genotype is cleaved by *HhaI* into 207 bp and 169-bp DNA fragments. The TT genotype lacks a *HhaI* restriction site and migrates as one 376-bp DNA fragment. All digestion products were resolved on 3% agarose gel and visualized using ethidium bromide.

Association analysis

We used χ analysis with exact probability to test departure from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for the genotype distribution in the cases and controls before association analysis. All continuous variables are reported as means \pm standard deviation. The Student *t*-test was used to compare the difference in continuous variables. The genotype-disease association analyses were performed by logistic regression analysis with or without the adjustment for covariates. A P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (version 11.5) for Windows.

Literature and search strategy

A computerized literature search was conducted to identify the relevant available studies published in English or Chinese from four databases: PubMed, the China National Knowledge Infrastructure, the Database of Chinese Scientific and Technical Periodicals (VIP), and the Wanfang database. All possible studies were identified using the following key words: "FABP2" or "I-FABP"; "obesity"; "gene polymorphism"; "metabolic syndrome" or "MetS"; and "type 2 diabetes", or "type 2 diabetes mellitus," or "T2DM", or "T2D". The references of all publications identified were searched for additional studies. The PubMed option "Related Articles" was used to search for potentially relevant papers. Reference lists in retrieved articles were also screened. Without any language restriction, we only selected published manuscripts (including their online supporting materials). Studies included in the meta-analysis were required to meet all the following criteria: first, the association of the FABP2 Ala54Thr polymorphism with MetS, T2DM, or obesity was assessed; second, each study had case-control groups and had been published as an original study; third, odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), or genotype frequency among case and control groups, were provided; fourth, if more than one article was published using the same case series, only the study with the largest sample size or the most recent study was selected. The following information was extracted:

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

name of the first author, year of publication, ethnicity of the study population, sample size, numbers of cases and controls, gender and age of enrolled subjects, genotype distribution and minor allele frequency in cases and controls, and P values for allele frequency (Figure 1). The literature search was updated on June 1, 2013.



Figure 1. Search strategy for the publications included in our study.

Meta-analysis

The associations of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism with MetS, T2DM, and obesity were estimated by calculating pooled ORs and 95%CIs using the Stata 10.0 software. The ORs were calculated using 2 x 2 contingency tables for each study. Heterogeneity among studies was assessed using the χ^2 -based Q-test as well as the inconsistency index (I²) statistic. Probabilities less than 0.05 were judged significant except for the I² statistic. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by removing one study at a time and calculating the pooled ORs for the remaining studies. The Z-test was used to calculate the P value of the overall effect for the meta-analysis. Pooled ORs were computed by the fixed-effects method of Mantel-Haenszel (Peto method) for data combined under no heterogeneity between studies (P > 0.1). If significant heterogeneity exists between studies (P ≤ 0.1), then the random-effects model of DerSimonian and Laird is appropriate for combined data.

Publication bias was checked by funnel plots and Egger regression analysis. Funnel plots are asymmetric when there is publication bias. The Egger test was performed to measure the funnel plot asymmetry. A significance level of 0.05 was regarded as an indication of potential publication bias.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of the enrolled subjects

The clinical characteristics of the subjects enrolled are presented in Table 2. Independent *t*-test analysis showed that the weight, waist circumference, hip circumference, SBP, DBP, BMI, triacylglycerol, and fasting insulin were consistently higher in obesity, T2DM, and MetS patients than in the control group (P < 0.01). The height was significantly higher in T2DM and obesity patients than in the control group, and total cholesterol in obesity patients was higher than in the controls.

Association of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism with T2DM, obesity, and MetS in Hubei Han Chinese

Genotypic distributions of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism were in HWE for obesity, MetS, and T2DM patients and controls. The logistic regression revealed significant associations between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and MetS with adjustment for gender and age (TT vs AA: OR = 2.273, 95%CI = 1.242-4.159, P = 0.008) and without adjustment (TT vs AA: OR = 1.910, 95%CI = 1.092-3.339, P = 0.023) (Table 3)

Diseases	Genotype	G	iroup		Unadjusted			Adjusted	
		Case	Control	OR	95%CI	Р	OR	95%CI	Р
MetS	AA	160	156	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	AT	116	142	1.521	0.879-2.632	0.134	1.647	0.908-2.988	0.100
	TT	39	25	1.910	1.092-3.339	0.023	2.273	1.242-4.159	0.008
Obesity	AA	183	202	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	AT	152	192	1.253	0.771-2.035	0.362	2.663	1.065-6.663	0.036
	TT	42	37	1.434	0.878-2.342	0.150	4.160	1.609-10.757	0.003
T2DM	AA	120	202	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	AT	93	192	1.001	0.564-1.777	0.998	0.920	0.426-1.984	0.831
	TT	22	37	1.228	0.685-2.199	0.491	1.211	0.553-2.652	0.631

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and metabolic syndrome (MetS), obesity, and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; P values <0.05 are shown in bold.

Although no significant association was found between obesity and *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism by logistic regression without the adjustment for covariates, logistic regression with the adjustment for gender, age, blood pressure, and fasting insulin revealed significant associations (AT *vs* AA: OR = 2.663, 95%CI = 1.065-6.663, P = 0.036; TT *vs* AA: OR = 4.160, 95%CI = 1.609-10.757, P = 0.003) (Table 3). For T2DM, the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism was not associated with T2DM by logistic regression with or without adjustment for gender, age, blood pressure, weight, and BMI.

Meta-analysis

For T2DM, 24 studies (Table 1) with complete allele and genotype frequency information were used in our final meta-analysis. Figure 2A shows the forest plot of risk allele OR

of individual studies and meta-analysis for association between the Ala54Thr polymorphism and T2DM in a total of 4517 T2DM patients and 5224 healthy controls in global populations. Eighteen studies presented a trend of elevated OR for the allele T. Six studies showed a trend in the opposite direction. Because there was no heterogeneity between studies (P = 0.134, $I^2 = 24.7\%$), a fixed effect model was performed and generated a pooled OR of 1.17 (95%CI = 1.07-1.27, P < 0.001) for the T allele (Figure 2A).



Figure 2. Forest plots of meta-analysis of the association of the *FABP2* rs1799883 polymorphism with type 2 diabetes (**A**), metabolic syndrome (**B**), and obesity (**C**) in the global population. Estimation of odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) in each study are displayed as closed squares and horizontal lines, respectively. The size of the black squares reflects the weight of the study in the meta-analysis. The diamonds represent the combined OR, calculated using a random or fixed-effect model, with its 95%CI.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

Besides our case-control study, we identified 6 association studies with 5476 individuals between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and MetS (Table 1). Five studies showed a trend of elevated OR for the allele T (Vimaleswaran et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2007; Csép et al., 2007; Yamada et al., 2008; Oguri et al., 2009). Only one study showed a trend in the opposite direction (Turkovic et al., 2012). A significant association was found for the T allele (OR = 1.18, 95%CI = 1.02-1.36, P = 0.031, heterogeneity, P = 0.011, I² = 64.0%) (Figure 2B).

We identified 9 studies (2951 individuals) that considered the association between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and obesity. As shown in Figure 2C, four studies had a trend of elevated OR for the allele T (Albala et al., 2004; Takakura et al., 2005; Tavridou et al., 2009), and six studies showed a trend in the opposite direction (Lei et al., 1999; Ito et al., 1999; Carlsson et al., 2000; He, 2002; Duarte et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2011). No significant association was found between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and obesity (Figure 2C).

Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis was conducted by removing one study at a time and calculating the pooled ORs for the remaining studies. This analysis showed that none of the individual studies influenced the pooled ORs, which ranged from 1.29 (95%CI = 1.08-1.55) to 1.41 (95%CI = 1.19-1.69) for T2DM and from 1.009 (95%CI = 0.897-1.135) to 1.089 (95%CI = 0.945-1.256) for obesity, indicating that the results of the meta-analysis were reliable and stable. However, the results of the meta-analysis for MetS were not stable, being more significant (P < 0.001, OR = 1.281, 95%CI = 1.182-1.389) after removing Turkovic's study, and non-significant after excluding studies by Vimaleswaran et al. (2006), Csép et al. (2007), Yamada et al. (2008), or the one by Mitsutoshi et al. (2007).

Heterogeneity analysis

A significant heterogeneity was observed for MetS (P = 0.011, $I^2 = 64.0\%$). Metaregression analysis showed that the age in case groups and control groups contributed to the heterogeneity. The inconsistency index I^2 decreased from 64.0% to 0.0% after removing Turkovic's study that had the highest age in case groups and control groups, and the lowest T allele frequency of 0.224 in control groups, indicating that Turkovic's study was responsible for the heterogeneity in the mixed populations.

Publication bias

Begg's funnel plots were generated to assess publication bias. The Egger test was performed to statistically evaluate funnel plot symmetry. Neither the Begg test nor the Egger test results suggested publication bias for the association of the *FABP2* A45T polymorphism and the risk of obesity, MetS, and T2DM (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

The *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism has previously been associated with T2DM, obesity, and MetS with conflicting results (Table 1). Reasons for the lack of consistency across studies included small sample sizes, ethnic differences, and research methodologies. Meta-

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

analysis can provide more reliable results than a single study by combining the results from different studies and producing a single estimate of the major effect with enhanced statistical power. In the current study, we examined the association of the functional Ala54Thr polymorphism in the *FABP2* gene with T2DM, obesity, and MetS risk in Hubei Han Chinese, and performed a systematical review across different populations by meta-analysis.

For T2DM, no association was found in our Hubei Han Chinese. However, metaanalyses suggested a strong association between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and risk of T2DM in the global populations (OR = 1.16, 95%CI = 1.08-1.24, P < 0.001). Unlike our meta-analysis on risk of T2DM, Zhao et al. (2010) previously performed a meta-analysis of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism with insulin resistance and blood glucose in 31 studies with 13,451 subjects. The Thr54 allele is weakly associated with a higher degree of insulin resistance, a higher level of fasting insulin, and a higher level of 2-h blood glucose. Therefore, both meta-analyses with different methods supported the association between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and T2DM. Because the Thr54 variant contributes to the excessive absorption of fatty acids, skeletal muscles preferentially use fatty acids for energy rather than glucose, leading to increased glucose levels (Chiu et al., 2001).

Our meta-analyses showed no evidence that the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism is associated with obesity in overall populations. Previously, Zhao et al. (2011) performed metaanalyses of 27 studies with 10,974 subjects on the association between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and BMI; their analyses did not support the association. It is worth noting that although no significant association was found between obesity and the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism by logistic regression without the adjustment for covariates, logistic regression with the adjustment for the gender, age, blood pressure, and fasting insulin revealed significant associations (AT *vs* AA: OR = 2.633, 95%CI = 1.065-6.663, P = 0.036; TT *vs* AA: OR = 4.160, 95%CI = 1.609-10.757, P = 0.003) in our Han Chinese study cohort. Therefore, the interactions between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and environmental factors/different polymorphic loci might modulate BMI.

For MetS, we conducted the first association study between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and MetS in the Chinese Han population, and a significant association was observed for TT *vs* AA with adjustment for gender and age (OR = 2.273, 95%CI = 1.242-4.159, P = 0.008). Six small studies previously conducted in different populations examined the Ala54Thr polymorphism in relation to MetS with inconsistent results. Our meta-analysis supports the association between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and MetS. The Thr54 allele may increase the risk of MetS (OR = 1.176, 95%CI = 1.015-1.362, P = 0.031). To the best of our knowledge, this study represents the first meta-analysis between polymorphisms in the *FABP2* gene and MetS. Therefore, both our results and the meta-analyses across different populations throughout the world support the association of the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism with MetS risk.

Our meta-analysis revealed significant between-study heterogeneity only for MetS. The between-study heterogeneity may have arisen for one of the following reasons. First, different diagnostic criteria for MetS: IDF (Csép et al., 2007; Turkovic et al., 2012), American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (AHA/NHLBI) (Yamada et al., 2008; Oguri et al., 2009); and Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines (ATPIII) (Vimaleswaran et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2007). Second, ethnicity differences; the T allele frequencies of control-subject Croatians, Indians, Romanians, Han Chinese, and Japanese were 0.224, 0.269, 0.281, 0.297, and 0.329, respectively. Third, selection bias; the differences in age and gender distributions among the studies included and the difference in sample content might also contribute

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

to the heterogeneity. The age of the control subjects ranged from our 52.1 to 78.8 (Turkovic et al., 2012), and sample sizes ranged from 73 (Yamada et al., 2008) to 1114 (Oguri et al., 2009).

Our study has some limitations. First, the sample size was comparatively small and had insufficient statistical power to detect the association. Second, the most common publication bias was caused by a preference for publishing positive, rather than negative, results. Third, since we were not able to obtain the original data, further evaluation of potential interactions, such as the effects of gene-gene and gene-environment interactions were not considered in our current study. Fourth, the present meta-analysis was based primarily on unadjusted effect estimates and the confounding factors (age, gender, etc.) were not controlled for, all of which could have influenced the relationship between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and the risk of T2DM, obesity and MetS.

To conclude, the results from our meta-analyses demonstrate the associations between the *FABP2* Ala54Thr polymorphism and T2DM and MetS in global populations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported by the National Basic Research Program of China ("973" Program, #2011CB504004), the Self-Determined Research Funds of the Central China Normal University from the colleges' basic research and Operation of Ministry of Education (#CCNU14Z01003).

REFERENCES

- Albala C, Santos JL, Cifuentes M, Villarroel AC, et al. (2004). Intestinal FABP2 A54T polymorphism: association with insulin resistance and obesity in women. Obes. Res. 12: 340-345.
- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J and the IDF Epidemiology Task Force Consensus Group (2005). The metabolic syndrome-a new worldwide definition. *Lancet* 366: 1059-1062.
- Alharbi KK, Khan IA, Bazzi MD, Al-Daghri NM, et al. (2014). A54T polymorphism in the fatty acid binding protein 2 studies in a Saudi population with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Lipids Health Dis.* 13: 61.
- Boullu-Sanchis S, Leprêtre F, Hedelin G, Donnet JP, et al. (1999). Type 2 diabetes mellitus: association study of five candidate genes in an Indian population of Guadeloupe, genetic contribution of FABP2 polymorphism. *Diabetes Metab.* 25: 150-156.
- Bu L, Salto LM, De Leon KJ and De Leon M (2011). Polymorphisms in fatty acid binding protein 5 show association with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 92: 82-91.
- Carlsson M, Orho-Melander M, Hedenbro J, Almgren P, et al. (2000). The T 54 allele of the intestinal fatty acid-binding protein 2 is associated with a parental history of stroke. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 85: 2801-2804.
- Chang XT, Hou LJ, Wang ZH, Song GQ, et al. (2007). Association of A54T single nucleotide polymorphism of IFABP gene with serum lipid levels in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Chin. J. Diabetes* 15: 285-288.
- Cheung BM, Wat NM, Man YB, Tam S, et al. (2007). Development of diabetes in Chinese with the metabolic syndrome, a 6-year prospective study. *Diabetes Care* 30: 1430-1436.
- Chiu KC, Chuang LM and Yoon C (2001). The A54T polymorphism at the intestinal fatty acid binding protein 2 is associated with insulin resistance in glucose tolerant Caucasians. *BMC Genet*. 2: 7.
- Csép K, Vitay M, Dudutz G, Rosivall L, et al. (2007). Correlation of FABP2-A54T polymorphism and the metabolic syndrome in Maros County of Romania. *Orv. Hetil.* 148: 597-602.
- Dehwah MAS, Zhang S, Qu K, Huang H, et al. (2010). KCNQ1 and type 2 diabetes: study in Hubei Han Chinese and meta-analysis in East Asian populations. *Genes Genomics* 32: 327-334.
- Duarte NL, Colagiuri S, Palu T, Wang XL, et al. (2003). Obesity, type II diabetes and the Ala54Thr polymorphism of fatty acid binding protein 2 in the Tongan population. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 79: 183-188.
- Hayakawa T, Nagai Y, Nohara E, Yamashita H, et al. (1999). Variation of the fatty acid binding protein 2 gene is not associated with obesity and insulin resistance in Japanese subjects. *Metabolism* 48: 655-657.
- He X (2002). A case-control study of 16 polymorphisms in 13 candidate genes and obesity in Samoans. Master's thesis. Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Cincinnati, USA.

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)

- Huang M, Yang XJ, Li L, Hu GZ, et al. (1999). Relationship between polymorphism of the human intestinal fatty acid binding protein gene and type 2 diabetic patients with coronary heart disease. *Chin. J. Endocrinol. Metab.* 15: 290-293.
- Ito K, Nakatani K, Fujii M, Katsuki A, et al. (1999). Codon 54 polymorphism of the fatty acid binding protein gene and insulin resistance in the Japanese population. *Diabet. Med.* 16: 119-124.
- Kim SG, Kim CH, Yun SK, Yun YI, et al. (2001). Polymorphism of the uncoupling protein 1 (UCP-1) gene and fatty acid binding protein 2 (FABP2) gene in Korean type 2 diabetic patients. *J. Korean Diabetes Assoc.* 25: 262-272.
- Lei HH, Coresh J, Shuldiner AR, Boerwinkle E, et al. (1999). Variants of the insulin receptor substrate-1 and fatty acid binding protein 2 genes and the risk of type 2 diabetes, obesity, and hyperinsulinemia in African-Americans: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Diabetes* 48: 1868-1872.
- Li Z, Chen LM, Chang BC, Sun P, et al. (2010). Relationship between polymorphism of the human intestinal fatty acid binding protein 2 (FABP2) gene and diabetic nephropathy. *Chin. J. Diabetes* 18: 182-184.
- Liu Y and Lu SH (2004). The study of susceptibility genes of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Northern Chinese. Master's thesis. Inner Mongolia Normal University, Huhehaote.
- Miller M, Rhyne J, Chen H, Beach V, et al. (2007). APOC3 promoter polymorphisms C-482T and T-455C are associated with the metabolic syndrome. Arch. Med. Res. 38: 444-451.
- Oguri M, Kato K, Yokoi K, Itoh T, et al. (2009). Association of genetic variants with myocardial infarction in Japanese individuals with metabolic syndrome. *Atherosclerosis* 206: 486-493.
- Raza ST, Fatima J, Ahmed F, Abbas S, et al. (2014). Association of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and fatty acid binding protein 2 (FABP2) genes polymorphism with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Northern India. J. Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst. 15: 572-579.

Sanghera D and Blackett PR (2012). Type 2 diabetes genetics: beyond GWAS. J. Diabetes Metab. 3: 6948.

- Shi Q, Yan F, Wang XD and Huang YY (2012). Association between polymorphism of the fatty acid-binding protein 2 gene and type 2 diabetes. *Chin. J. Med. Guide* 14: 930-933.
- Takakura Y, Yoshioka K, Umekawa T, Kogure A, et al. (2005). Thr54 allele of the FABP2 gene affects resting metabolic rate and visceral obesity. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 67: 36-42.
- Tavridou A, Arvanitidis KI, Tiptiri-Kourpeti A, Petridis I, et al. (2009). Thr54 allele of fatty-acid binding protein 2 gene is associated with obesity but not type 2 diabetes mellitus in a Caucasian population. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 84: 132-137.
- Turkovic LF, Pizent A, Dodig S, Pavlovic M, et al. (2012). FABP 2 gene polymorphism and metabolic syndrome in elderly people of Croatian descent. *Biochem. Med.* 22: 217-224.
- Vimaleswaran KS, Radha V and Mohan V (2006). Thr54 allele carriers of the Ala54Thr variant of FABP2 gene have associations with metabolic syndrome and hypertriglyceridemia in urban South Indians. *Metabolism* 55: 1222-1226.
- Wanby P, Palmquist P, Brudin L and Carlsson M (2005). Genetic variation of the intestinal fatty acid-binding protein 2 gene in carotid atherosclerosis. *Vasc. Med.* 10: 103-108.
- Wang GY, Li QF, Hong TP, Wang YR, et al. (2001). An association study of the human intestinal fatty acid binding protein gene and type 2 diabetic patients. *Chin. J. Med. Genet.* 19: 447-448.
- Wang XS, Bai H, Fan P, Liu R, et al. (2011). Analysis of the FABP2 gene Ala54Thr polymorphism in non-obese and obese Chinese. *Sichuan Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* 42: 19-23.
- Xiang KS, Zheng TS and Jia WP (1998). The relation of intestinal fatty acid binding protein gene Ala54Thr variation to general and regional adipose depots in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Chin. J. Endocrinol. Metab.* 6: 356-360.
- Xiang KS, Zheng TS, Jia WP, Sun D, et al. (1999). The impact of codon 54 variation in intestinal fatty acid binding protein gene on the pathogenesis of diabetes mellitus in Chinese. *Chin. Med. J.* 112: 99-102.
- Xiong B, Ning YY and Zhu XZ (2005). Relativity between apolipoprotien E, fatty acid binding 2 polymorphism and type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with nephropathy. *Clin. Focus* 20: 367-370.
- Yamada K, Yuan X, Ishiyama S, Koyama K, et al. (1997). Association between Ala54Thr substitution of the fatty acid-binding protein 2 gene with insulin resistance and intra-abdominal fat thickness in Japanese men. *Diabetologia* 40: 706-710.
- Yamada Y, Kato K, Oguri M, Yoshida T, et al. (2008). Association of genetic variants with atherothrombotic cerebral infarction in Japanese individuals with metabolic syndrome. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 21: 801-808.
- Yamauchi T, Hara K, Maeda S, Yasuda K, et al. (2010). A genome-wide association study in the Japanese population identifies susceptibility loci for type 2 diabetes at UBE2E2 and C2CD4A-C2CD4B. Nat. Genet. 42: 864-868.
- Zhao T, Zhao J and Yang W (2010). Association of the fatty acid-binding protein 2 gene Ala54Thr polymorphism with insulin resistance and blood glucose: a meta-analysis in 13451 subjects. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.* 26: 357-364.
- Zhao T, Zhao J, Lv J and Nzekebaloudou M (2011). Meta-analysis on the effect of the Ala54Thr polymorphism of the fatty acid-binding protein 2 gene on body mass index. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 21: 823-829.

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Genetics and Molecular Research 14 (1): 1155-1168 (2015)