

Genetic linkage map of EST-SSR and SRAP markers in the endangered Chinese endemic herb *Dendrobium* (Orchidaceae)

J.J. Lu^{1,2*}, S. Wang^{1,2*}, H.Y. Zhao^{1,2*}, J.J. Liu^{1,2} and H.Z. Wang^{1,2}

¹Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory for Genetic Improvement and Quality Control of Medicinal Plants, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou, China ²Soybean Research Institute, National Center for Soybean Improvement, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

*These authors contributed equally to this study. Corresponding author: H.Z. Wang E-mail: whz62@163.com

Genet. Mol. Res. 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012) Received June 8, 2012 Accepted July 24, 2012 Published December 21, 2012 DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2012.December.21.1

ABSTRACT. *Dendrobium officinale* is an endangered orchid from southeast Asia that is known for its medicinal properties in traditional Chinese medicine. We constructed an integrated genetic linkage map of an F_1 population derived from an interspecific cross between *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum* (both, 2n = 38), using expressed sequence tag-simple sequence repeats (EST-SSR) and sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP). A total of 349 polymorphic loci, including 261 SRAP loci and 88 EST-SSR loci, were identified for genetic linkage analysis. The software JoinMap 4.0 was used to construct the genetic maps. A total of 157 loci were arranged into 27 major linkage groups, each containing a minimum of four markers, and a further 23 markers were distributed to five triplets and four doublets, the frame map covered a total distance of 1580.4 cM, with a mean of 11.89 cM between adjacent markers. This primary map of the *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum* hybrid provides a basis for genetic studies and should

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

facilitate future studies of medical traits mapping and marker-assisted selection in *Dendrobium* species breeding programs.

Key words: Dendrobium; Genetic linkage map; SSR; SRAP

INTRODUCTION

Dendrobium is a monocotyledonous plant in the orchid family, Orchidaceae. There are about 1500 native species all over the world (Tsi, 1980), of which 74 species are mainly distributed in the provinces and autonomous regions of the southern Tsinling Mountains of China (Tsi et al., 1999). Many Dendrobium species have been used in traditional medicine for many centuries in Asian countries because of their therapeutic effects (Bulpitt et al., 2007). In traditional Chinese medicine, several Dendrobium plants are used as medicines to clear away toxic materials accumulated in human tissues, and as health care products to enhance the immune system. Modern pharmacological studies have shown that Dendrobium can promote digestion, slow aging and lower blood pressure and has anti-cancer activity (Chinese Pharmacopoeia Editorial Committee, 2000; Bulpitt et al., 2007), and that it also reduces blood sugar levels (Zheng et al., 2010). As important anti-oxidant elements in *Dendrobium* plants, mucoid substances can moisturize and nourish the skin, and have often been added to beauty products in the modern skin care industry. Dendrobium plants not only have a high medicinal value but also a high ornamental value because of its morphology and flowers. However, the ability of self-reproduction in Dendrobium is very low (Wang et al., 1999). Because of their wide and effective use in traditional medicine and flower horticulture, Dendrobium species are subjected to mass collection, and wild *Dendrobium* resources are being depleted.

Many molecular markers have been used for the development of genetic maps, including restriction fragment length polymorphism, RAPD (random amplification of polymorphic DNA), ISSR (inter-simple sequence repeat), amplified fragment length polymorphism, SSR (simple sequence repeat), and SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) (Grosse et al., 1999). Among the various molecular markers, SRAP (sequence-related amplified polymorphism) and SSR have proven to be useful for developing and integrating genetic maps for plants. SSR markers are considered to be useful for genetic map construction based on their co-dominance, abundance, and genome-wide distribution. In addition, they generally display good transferability within the genus (Hodgetts et al., 2001; Rajora et al., 2001; Shepherd et al., 2002). SRAP, a new PCR-based marker, has been widely used in map construction, gene tagging, genomic and cDNA fingerprinting, and map-based cloning (Li and Quiros, 2001).

The main objective of the study reported here was to construct the first integrated genetic map of *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum* hybrids based on SSR and SRAP markers by applying the double pseudo-testcross strategy. Our mapping population comprised 140 random samples from interspecies hybrids, thereby representing a perfect experimental population for QTL (quantitative trait locus) and other genetic and genomic studies.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and DNA extraction

The female parent D. officinale (Sect. Dendrobium) was a single wild plant from Ji-

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

nhua (119°64'E, 29°12'N), Zhejiang, China; the male parent *D. aduncum* (Sect. *Breviflores*) was from a natural region in Luxi (103°76'E, 24°52'N), Yunnan, China. Two flowering plants before hybridization are shown in Figure 1. Both of them were collected from the wild and transplanted in the greenhouse of Hangzhou Normal University (120°19'E, 30°26'N) for further hybridization since 2004. Seeds obtained from cross-pollination were germinated in lightly moistened potting mix at room temperature. Healthy seedlings were transplanted into the nursery garden after three months. Finally, a total of 140 seedlings from interspecies hybrids were randomly selected to construct the molecular genetic linkage map.



Figure 1. The pictures of maternal (A. *Dendrobium officinale*) and paternal (B. *D. aduncum*) plants with flower (arrows).

Genomic DNA was extracted individually from leaf samples as described previously (Wang et al., 2009a). The concentration of genomic DNA samples was determined by Nano-DropTM 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, USA) and checked with 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. A portion of the DNA was diluted to 30 ng/µL with solution buffer and used as a template for PCR amplification, and stock portions were stored at -20°C.

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

Expressed sequence tag (EST)-SSR analysis

A set of 309 pairs of SSR primers were developed based on the sequence data of the ESTs from the cDNA libraries of D. nobile (Lu JJ, Kang JY, Ye SR, Zhao HY, et al., unpublished results) and D. officinale (Lu et al., 2012b). In brief, the cDNA libraries of D. noble and D. officinale were constructed, and at least 4075 and 1835 'valid' ESTs were obtained, respectively. The total EST sequences were searched for the presence of SSR motifs by the Perl-based script MISA (http://www.pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa/), with the parameter for detecting dinucleotide core repeat motifs at least 5 repeats, trinucleotides at least 4 repeats and other SSRs (tetranucleotides up to hexanucleotides) at least 3 repeats. Interrupted composite SSRs were also selected (intervening bases ≤ 100 bp). The sequences were used to design primers flanking the putative SSRs by Primer 3, with a primer length of 19-22 nucleotides, 40-60% GC content, optimum 50°-60°C melting temperature with a difference of 2°C between forward and reverse primer, and an expected amplification size smaller than 300 bp. The primers were used in amplifying the SSR loci in genomic DNA of D. noble and D. officinale, respectively, and the sequence and motif of expected size amplified bands for each EST-SSR primer pairs were validated by sequencing. All 309 successfully developed EST-SSR primers were screened with the parental samples to identify the potentially polymorphic loci; the primers showing polymorphism between the parents were then rescreened on six progeny individuals and both parents. Primers with fragments segregating in both parents and the progeny were selected for subsequent use in mapping the whole population.

Amplifications were performed on 96-well microplates using an MJ Research PTC-100 thermal cycler (MJ Research Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). A total volume of 20 μ L comprised 30 ng genomic DNA, 0.2 μ M each primer, 200 μ M dNTPs, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase with 10X PCR universal buffer, and 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (TaKaRa, Japan). PCR cycling parameters were 1 cycle of 94°C for 5 min; 32 cycles of 94°C for 40 s, 40 s annealing at temperatures appropriate for each EST-SSR primer pair (Table 1) and 72°C for 90 s, and an extension at 72°C for 10 min. The products were separated on a 6% (w/v) vertical polyacrylamide gel. The gel was run at 220 V constant power for 2 h, until xy-lene cyanol reached the bottom of the gel. After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with AgNO₃ solution.

SRAP analysis

The wonderful transferability SRAP primers, which were developed and used for mapping and gene tagging in *Brassica* by Li and Quiros (2001), were used in our study. Four hundred and thirty-seven SRAP primer combinations were used as candidates, and they were derived from 19 forward primers and 23 reverse primers (Table 2). The high polymorphic SRAP primer combinations used for our map construction were selected with the same selection procedures of EST-SSRs. SRAP PCR mixture was prepared as that in EST-SSR analysis except using different primers, and cycling parameters were: 94°C for 5 min followed by 5 cycles of 94°C for 60 s, 35°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 60 s; 35 cycles of 94°C for 60 s, 50°C for 60 s, 72°C for 60 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The SRAP PCR products were separated as for EST-SSR markers as described above.

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

DNeSSR107 DNeSSR109 TC DNESSR109 TC DNESSR114 TC DNESSR135 DNESSR123 DNESSR135 DNESSR155 DNESSR156 DNESSR156 DNESSR157 DNESSR177 DNESSR180 DNESSR1	GGATGTATGGCACTGAGGA CTATGATGCCGTCTGCTGT CACTCCCGAAGAAAGTC GGGATGTGCAGTGTTAGAT AGAGAGAGAGCGACGAGGAG AATCATCGCCGTGAGAGG GGGTTCGATTGGGTAGG GGAGTTGCGGGAAGGGGAAGG GGAGTTGCGGGGAAGGGGAAGG GGAGTTGCAATGAAGG					
DNeSSR109 DNeSSR114 DNESSR114 DNESSR114 DNESSR123 DNESSR123 DNESSR135 DNESSR155 DNESSR156 DNESSR156 DNESSR177 DNESSR177 DNESSR180 DNESSR	CTATGATGCCGTCTGCTGT CTATGATGCCGAGGAAGATC GGGATGTGCGAGGAAGATC AGAGAGAGAGCGACGAGA AATCATCGCATGGACGAGAG GGGTTCGATTGGTGAAG GGGTTCGATTGGCGTGATTA GTTGGAGGGAAGGGGAAG GTTGAAGTTGTCAATGAAG CCCATGTTCACGGTTCTC	AGGGAGCAGATGATGAACAA	$(GAT)_{4}$	55	-	
DNeSSR114 DNeSSR114 DNeSSR124 DNeSSR12 G/ DNeSSR135 DNeSSR135 DNeSSR150 DNeSSR164 DNeSSR164 DNeSSR164 DNeSSR177 DNeSSR177 DNeSSR178 DNeSSR180 C7 DNESSR180 C7 C7 DNESSR180 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7	CAACTCCCGAAGAAAGTC GGGATGTCCGAAGAAAGTC GGGATGTCGAGGGACGAGAG AATCATCGCCGTGAGGAAGG GGAGTTCGATTGGTGATA GGAGTTCGATTGAGGAAGTGGTA TGATTTGAGGGAAGTGGTA GTTGAAGTGTCAATGAAGA GCTGAAGTCTCACGGTTCTC	TITGCTCCAACTCCTCTGTTC	(CTT)	56	-	DI
DNeSSR118 DNeSSR123 DNESSR123 DNESSR123 DNESSR135 DNESSR142 DNESSR150 DNESSR164 DNESSR164 DNESSR164 DNESSR164 DNESSR177 DNESSR180 DNESSR	GGGATGTGCAGTGTTAGAT AGAGAGAGGGGGGGAGAGA AATCATCGCGGGGAGAAGC GGAGTTGGTGATTGGTGATA GGAGTTGGATGGTGATA GTTGAGGGGAAGTGGTA GTTGAAGTGTCAATGAAG GCCATGTCACGGGTAGAG	GCACATAGTTCCAACAAAAAAAA	$(TA)_{7}$	55	7	
DNeSSR12 GA DNeSSR123 AA DNeSSR135 TC DNESSR135 TC DNESSR150 GG DNESSR150 GG DNESSR164 CC DNESSR177 T1 DNESSR178 TC DNESSR180 GG	AGAGAGAGCGACAGAG AATCATCGCCGTGAGAAGC GAGTTCGATTGGTGATTA TGATTTGAGGGAAGTGGTA GTTGAAGGGAAGTGGTA GTTGAAGTGTCAATGAAGA GCCATGTCACGGGTACGA	GAAGATTGTCCATTCGTTGG	(AAGAGG) ₅ -(AG) ₆	55	-	
DNeSSR123 AA DNeSSR135 TC DNESSR135 TC DNESSR150 GC DNESSR150 GC DNESSR151 TT DNESSR177 TT DNESSR178 TC DNESSR180 GC	AATCATCGCCGTGAGAAGC GAGTTCGATTGGTGATTA TGATTTGAGGGAAGTGGTA GTTGAAGTGTCAATGAAGA GCTGAAGTTGTCAATGAAGA	GATTCTTGGGAGGAAAGATAA	$(GA)_{s}$	55	7	
DNeSSR135 TC DNeSSR142 CT DNeSSR142 CT DNeSSR156 G DNeSSR156 G DNeSSR177 TT DNeSSR177 TT DNeSSR188 G DNeSSR188 CC	GGAGTTCGATTGGTGATTA TGATTTGAGGGAAGTGGTA GTTGAAGTTGTCAATGAAGA GCCATGTCACGGTTCTC	CTCCCTGTATTCCAGCATCG	$(AG)_{20}^{\circ}$	59		
DNeSSR142 CT DNeSSR15 GG DNeSSR150 GG DNESSR150 GG DNESSR177 TT DNESSR177 TT DNESSR178 TG DNESSR180 GG	TGATTTGAGGGAAGTGGTA GTTGAAGTTGTCAATGAAGA GCCATGTCTCACGGTTCTC	GGCTACTTCGCTTCCTC	$(ATACG)_3$	54	-	
DNeSSR15 GG DNeSSR150 GG DNeSSR150 GG DNeSSR177 T1 DNeSSR178 T7 DNeSSR180 GG	GTTGAAGTTGTCAATGAAGA CCCATGTCTCACGGTTCTC	TGTTCTGTATGTTTGGCATG	$(TCA)_{\gamma}$	52	0	D7
DNeSSR150 GG DNeSSR164 CA DNeSSR177 TT DNeSSR178 TC DNeSSR188 GG DNeSSR180 C2	CCCATGTCTCACGGTTCTC	CCAACCATACAAAGAGAATCA	$(CTCGC)_{4}$	55	7	D19
DNeSSR164 CA DNeSSR177 TT DNeSSR178 TC DNeSSR180 GG		CAATCCCTCTGTGCTTGCTC	(GAA),	59	-	
DNeSSR177 TT DNeSSR178 TC DNeSSR18 GC DNeSSR180 C/	AGGATGCTCTAAGCCAGTA	AAAGAACAATGAAAGGCAAG	(TC)	53	0	
DNeSSR178 TC DNeSSR18 GC DNeSSR180 C/	TCTCCGGGATTCGACTGAT	AGGTTCCTCCTACTTGTGGC	(CTT),	58	7	
DNeSSR18 GC DNeSSR180 C/	GGATACAGTTCCCATCACCT	AACATACTTCCCAATACCAACG	(CGG),	57	7	
DNeSSR180 CA	CCATGAAGTTCCAGATTC	TTGAAATACTTGGACATACGG	(CCG)	55	1	
	AGGGAGGGGAATGAGGTAT	AGAAGAGTAGCACAACGAAAA	$(GTGCT)_{A}$	55	0	
DNeSSR193 TA	ACGCAATCCTGGTGGGAAGA	ACCCACAACCCAGAAACACT	(GTTT),	58	0	D26
DNeSSR32 GC	GGAGATAAAGTGAAGGAGAT	CTTTTTGAGGGTGGAGAATAG	(TTC),(TCTT),	55.5	7	
DNeSSR41 T1	TGTGTAATTGATGTGCATGT	CAACACAGCTGTGCTTATTA	(AT),-(ATGT),	54.5	7	
DNeSSR49 GC	GCACGTCGAGCCGGAAGAT	GCCCTGGCTTCGAGCTGAGA	(GA),	99	7	Minor group 6
DNeSSR5 G/	AGAAGAGGAAGAGCAAGAAT	GCCTAAGAGAAGAAGTCCAC	(TCT)	54	7	•
DNeSSR50 G/	AGAAAAGCGAGCGAGCGAG	AGAACCATCGGGCTGGGACT	(AGCG) (GA)	63	7	
DNeSSR51 AT	TCCGCAACTCTACCACCAT	ACATCCTCATCAGCCTTCAT	(CAG),	55	1	D25
DNeSSR58 AC	CTACGCGATCAGGTCTGTG	CTCTGGTGCTTACTACAATGCT	$(CTT)_{\gamma}$	55	7	
DNeSSR59 TC	GGGCTAACCATTGATTTAC	CAGACTTTATTGTTTGCCTAATT	(CTTC) ₃ -(TG) ₆	53	7	
DNeSSR6 GC	GTTTGAAATGTTTCTCATCA	ACTTCTCATTGGTGATCTGC	$(CT)_{13}$	55	-	
DNeSSR75 G/	AAGAGGGAATCGTGGCAGAA	CGAGGGCAACATACATCAAC	$(TTTG)_3$	58	7	
DNeSSR98 GC	GGGAGACCGATTTAGGAGA	AGGAGAAGCAACACGAGGAT	$(GA)_{7}$	57	7	
DOeSSR105 TC	GGCTTGATGACCACTCTGC	GGGTCGGATTTGAGTCCATA	$(TC)_{6}$ - $(TATC)_{4}$	58	0	
DOeSSR110 TC	GGGATTCGCCTGGACAACA	CAGCCAAGATGACCACAAGTAGCA	$(TCT)_{s}$	64	7	D1
DOeSSR20 C/	ATCCTCCTCCTTCAACTTAT	GCATTCTTCTACTGGTTTCAG	$(GAAGCA)_4$	54	-	D1
DOeSSR28 CC	GGTGGTCGTACAGAGAG	CTTGTGCTCATGAATCTTCTC	$(GA)_{II}$	55	0	
DOeSSR35 T1	TCAGTCACATGCTTCTCTCT	ACTGCAAAAATTTACAACAGC	$(TG)_{6}(TGA)_{4}$	55	7	
DOeSSR39 TC	GTCCAGATTCATTTCAGTTT	CTGCAACTTCAAAAATTATGC	(CTCC),(CT) ₁₂	54.5	-	D18
DOeSSR43 CC	CAAGTCTGAAGTTAATGACG	CCCCTAACATACCAGAATAC	$(GAA)_4 (GAT)_4$	55	-	D9
DOeSSR46 A/	ATGTTGTTGTTCCTTCTGTG	AGCCCAATAGACAAGATAGGT	$(TC)_{14}$	54.5	1	Minor group 7
DOeSSR48 CC	GCTCTGTTTCTCTCTACCTC	AAGACCTTACGATATTGCACA	$(TC)_{8}$ - $(CT)_{7}$	55	7	
DOeSSR49 A1	TGCTCTTCTTCTTCTTTGGT	AAATTCCTCACATTTCAGTCA	$(TC)_{13}$	54		D13
DOeSSR51 TA	AACTAGAAGCCTTTGCTCAG	GAAGCTCCATGTTAATCACAG	$(CT)_{13}$	54	7	
D0eSSR52 C1	TACTCTACCGGCGTGCAAAT	AGATCCCTGGAGGAGTATTTT	$(TC)_{10}$	57		
DOeSSR6 TC	CCCAATCCTGAAATCTATAA	GTAGGAAGAGACGAGGAGAAG	(TC) ₁₁	54	0	
DOeSSR61 A/	ATGTCGTAACTTCTGATCCA	GITCCCCTTGCTCTGTTC	$(CT)_{16}$	55	_	D24

4658

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

Linkage group in this study Minor group 5 D1 D10 D10 D3 D7 Ē Ň 000 - 0 00 - 0 Tm (°C) (TC)₁ (TC)₅ (TC)₅ (GAG2)₆ (GAG2)₆ (CC0)₅ (GG3)₅ (CA)₅ (CA)₅ (CA)₅ (CA)₅ (CA)₅ (CA)₅ (CA)₅ (CA)₅ Motif CIGITICTICITICITIGIGC CATCCAATATTICITIGCTIG TCACCACAACATTATACATACA ATTTIGTTICIGGGGGAACTAT CAGACCCAAACTICTACCIGIT CAGACCCAAACTICTACCIGIT AACAGCAGCATCAATCAAATAG GACCACCATGAGAAAGTCATA GATTGTTTTATTCTGATTTGCA CAGCACAGCATAGCTTATGTA **GTCAGGCACATCACAAATAAT TCATTGCCCAACGGAAGGAA** AACTCTGAAACTTTCCCTGA CTTCAGCCTCGTCATCCACC CCATAAAGTCGGTCCATAGC Tm = melting temperature; N_A = number of polymorphism loci per primer pair. Primer sequence (3') GAGCTTAACTGCAGAAGATCA AGGAGGTGAAGAATGGGAGG GAGGCCTCTCTCCGTTTC GTTTCAGTCTCGCTGGTCTA GGATTCGATTTGAGTGAATAG TTCAGAGTTCTTGGTGACATT CTCTACCTCACCGTGTTCCG **CTTTCTACCACCAGGTTGTCA** IAGCTTATCGTGAGAGAGATTGG CTGAGATGGACGGCGGTTTC AGAAACCAGGTCCAATCTCT AGTTGATAGGACCTTTGTGG CCTTCTTCTCALTTGTCCTTT CAGCGACAGCCCAAATCCT **IGGTTCTATCTTACCTCGGA** Primer sequence (5') Table 1. Continued. Primer name DOeSSR65 DOeSSR66 DOeSSR73 DOeSSR75 DOeSSR77 DOeSSR77 DOeSSR78 DOeSSR79 DOeSSR8 DOeSSR83 DOeSSR85 DOeSSR94 DOeSSR62 DOeSSR92 DOeSSR93 DOeSSR97

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

J.J. Lu et al.

Primer name	Sequence of primer $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Primer name	Sequence of primer $(5' \rightarrow 3')$
ME 1	TGAGTCCAAACCGGATA	EM 1	GACTGCGTACGAATTAAT
ME 2	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAGC	EM 2	GACTGCGTACGAATTTG
ME 3	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAAT	EM 3	GACTGCGTACGAATTGA
ME 5	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAAG	EM 4	GACTGCGTACGAATTTGA
ME 6	TGAGTCCAAACCGGTAA	EM 5	GACTGCGTACGAATTAAC
ME 7	TGAGTCCAAACCGGTCC	EM 6	GACTGCGTACGAATTGCA
ME 8	TGAGTCCAAACCGGTGC	EM 7	GACTGCGTACGAATTCA
ME 9	TGAGTCCAAACCGGTAG	EM 8	GACTGCGTACGAATTCTC
ME 10	TGAGTCCAAACCGGTCT	EM 9	GACTGCGTACGAATTCGA
ME 11	TGAGTCCAAACCGGACA	EM 10	GACTGCGTACGAATTCA
ME 13	TGAGTCCAAACCGGACT	EM 11	GACTGCGTACGAATTCC
ME 14	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAGG	EM 12	GACTGCGTACGAATTTCA
ME 15	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAAA	EM 13	GACTGCGTACGAATTTAC
ME 16	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAAC	EM 14	GACTGCGTACGAATTCAT
ME 17	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAGA	EM 15	GACTGCGTACGAATTCTA
ME 18	TGAGTCCAAACCGGCAT	EM 16	GACTGCGTACGAATTCTC
ME 19	TGAGTCCAAACCGGAGT	EM 17	GACTGCGTACGAATTCTT
ME 22	TGAGTCCAAACCGGATT	EM 18	GACTGCGTACGAATTGAT
ME 24	TGAGTCCAAACCGGCAC	EM 19	GACTGCGTACGAATTGT
		EM 20	GACTGCGTACGAATTCCT
		EM 21	GACTGCGTACGAATTAAA
		EM 23	GACTGCGTACGAATTACA
		EM 27	GACTGCGTACGAATTAGA

SRAP = sequence-related amplified polymorphism.

Marker scoring and marker nomenclature

Segregating markers were scored as '1' for presence and '0' for absence of a specific band amplified over the parents and the sibs. The data set included two segregation patterns: 1:1 for markers heterozygous in one parent and homozygous in the other one, and 3:1 for dominant (or 1:2:1 for codominant) markers heterozygous in both parents. SRAP markers were named after the primer serial number and the approximate fragment size. Microsatellite markers were named after the primer name.

Segregation analysis and map construction

For each marker, a χ^2 test was performed to identify alleles that deviated from Mendelian segregation ratios, only the markers with P > 0.05 were used for further analysis. Linkage groups (LGs) of the F₁ were established with the JoinMap 4.0 software (Stam, 1993). Markers were placed into LGs with the "LOD grouping" command using the Kosambi map function (Kosambi, 1943). Calculation parameters were set for the minimum LOD threshold of 4.0. The maps were constructed based on the 1:1 segregating markers and 3:1 (or 1:2:1) markers. Distorted markers were not included from linkage analysis. LG drawings were performed with the MapDraw 2.1 software (Liu and Meng, 2003).

Estimating genome length and map coverage

The estimated genome length (Ge) was the sum of the length of each LG multiplied by (m + 1) / (m - 1), in which $m (m \ge 4)$ is the number of markers in each LG (Chakravarti et al., 1991). The linkage map length (G) was the sum of the length of each LG (more than three markers). Therefore, G/Ge calculates the linkage map coverage.

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

RESULTS

SSR and SRAP markers

Of the 309 pairs of SSR primers tested in this study, 97 (31.39%) did not show PCR products, 156 of them (50.49%) produced PCR bands without segregation, and the other 56 (18.12%) generated 88 segregating loci, including 24 pairs (44.83%) generating one locus and 32 pairs (55.17%) generating two loci (Table 1). One hundred and three of the 437 SRAP primer combinations displayed better polymorphisms (19 forward primers in combination with 23 reverse primers). The 103 primer combinations generated a total of 261 polymorphic fragments, and the number of segregating fragments per primer combination ranged from 2 to 7, with the size between 100 and 800 bp. Examples of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis patterns of SSR and SRAP markers are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis patterns of SSR and SRAP markers. *Lane* M = size marker; *lane* \Diamond = *Dendrobium aduncum*; *lane* \bigcirc = *D. officinale*; *lanes 1* to *140* = 140 individuals of the F₁ population. **A.** Pattern of *D. officinale* EST-SSR DOeSSR79. **B.** Pattern of SRAP combination M15+E8.

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

Segregation distortion

In the total number of 349 polymorphic loci (88 derived from SSR primers and 261 derived from SRAP primers), 230 loci showed 1:1 segregation ratio and 35 loci showed 3:1/1:2:1 (14 of them are located on the map and marked with asterisks in Figure 3), and segregation distortion from the expected 1:1 was observed in 63 loci, while segregation distortion from the expected 3:1/1:2:1 was observed in 16 loci, and the other 5 loci showed abnormal separation (Table 3).



Figure 3. Integrated genetic linkage map of *Dendrobium officinale* and *D. aduncum* hybrid based on EST-SSR and SRAP markers. Numbers at left indicate absolute marker position in Kosambi map units and marker names are to the right of each linkage group. Triplets and doublets are shown as "minor groups". The markers with asterisks mean the 3:1 segregation markers.

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

[©]FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Table 3. Number and proportion of marker segregation types during map construction.				
Types	Number	Proportion (%)		
Total markers	349			
1:1 segregation	230	65.90		
Segregation distortion from 1:1	63	18.05		
3:1 segregation	35	10.03		
Segregation distortion from 3:1	16	4.58		
Abnormal separation	5	1.43		

Genetic map construction

A total of 265 loci (230 of 1:1 and 35 of 3:1/1:2:1 segregation ratio) were used to calculate the average pairwise LOD and REC data. The final map contained 180 markers (20 SSRs and 160 SRAPs) mapped on 27 LGs with at least 4 markers, 5 triplets and 4 doublets (Figure 3). The map covered a linkage map length of 1580.4 cM with a mean distance of 11.89 cM between adjacent markers (Table 4). The length of the LGs ranged from 6.6 to 176.9 cM, and the number of markers per group varied from 4 to 14. LG D1 contained the largest number of markers (14), whereas LGs D11, D12, D13, D14, D16, D17, D18, D24, D25, and D26 had the least, with only 4 markers each. On average, each LG contained 5.81 markers. The longest gap of 33.7 cM was found in LG D5 (Figure 3).

Linkage group	Marker number	Total genetic distance (cM)	Average distance between adjacent marker (cM)
D1	14	176.9	13.61
D2	8	143.3	20.47
D3	10	83.8	9.31
D4	9	78.2	9.78
D5	8	104.5	14.93
D6	5	46.7	11.68
D7	7	69.4	11.57
D8	5	84	21.00
D9	8	98.3	14.04
D10	7	83.8	13.97
D11	4	41.0	13.67
D12	4	69.9	23.30
D13	4	57.8	19.27
D14	4	26.8	8.93
D15	5	40.2	10.05
D16	4	61.2	20.40
D17	4	6.6	2.17
D18	4	29.5	9.83
D19	5	23.4	5.85
D20	5	7.9	1.98
D21	5	23.6	5.90
D22	6	73.6	14.72
D23	5	29.5	7.38
D24	4	33.2	11.07
D25	4	17.7	5.90
D26	4	34.5	11.50
D27	5	35.1	8.78
Total	157	1580.4	
Average	6		11.89

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

©FUNPEC-RP www.funpecrp.com.br

Expected and observed genome length and map coverage

The estimated genome length of *Dendrobium* was 2222.5 cM. The frame map length in this study was 1580.4 cM, which covered 71.1% of the genome (or total length of the linkage group was 1691.2 cM for the genome coverage of 76.1%) (Table 5).

Table 5. Genome length and map coverage of <i>Dendrobium</i> in this study.					
Item	Total				
Genome length estimation (cM)	2222.5				
Frame map length (cM)	1580.4				
Total linkage group length (cM)	1691.2				
Frame map coverage (%)	71.1				
Total linkage group coverage (%)	76.1				

DISCUSSION

In this study, we selected *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum* as parental materials. They belong to the section *Dendrobium* and *Breviflores*. Between them, there is a large divergence in terms of geographical distribution and phenotype, such as shapes and colors of leaf, flower, and labellum. Both species contain the same number of chromosomes (2n = 38), and their genetic relationship is close based on ISSR molecular analysis (Wang et al. 2009a), suggesting the potential to generate a hybrid between these species. Following a number of trials of crosses between several *Dendrobium* species, we were successful in hybrid production between *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum* for the present study.

The pseudo-testcross is typical genetic strategy to construct linkage maps for an F_1 hybrid family (Grattapaglia and Sederoff, 1994). *Dendrobium* plants have a long growth cycle, complex genetic background, highly heterozygous genetic loci and low self-seed set. These features have caused difficulty in complete genetic map construction of these plant species. Thus far, related genetic information is relatively limited for *Dendrobium* (Wang et al., 1999). In this study, we created an F_1 interspecific hybrid of two *Dendrobium* species, and 140 seed-lings of the F_1 family were used for EST-SSR and SRAP genotyping. As codominant markers, EST-SSR loci were used to integrate the two parental maps into one consensus map by the JoinMap software. We constructed the first integrated genetic map for the *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum* hybrid based on SSR and SRAP markers. The frame map length in this study was 1580.4 cM, which covered 71.1% of the genome.

Construction of a genetic linkage map, especially a high-density genetic linkage map, requires not only an appropriate mapping population, but also a large number of molecular markers. Because of the codominant inheritance patterns, abundance, high polymorphism and good stability, SSR markers have been widely used and thought to be ideal markers for constructing genetic linkage maps, especially for integration of the genetic maps from related species (Testolin et al., 2000). In genetic maps reported previously in *Dendrobium* species (Xue et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2012a), both RAPD and SRAP markers were used, but SRAP was preferred, since RAPD markers were considered to have low reproducibility (Jones et al., 1997). The SSR primers from other plants are difficult to apply in *Dendrobium* species, and SSR data are still rarely available for the genus *Dendrobium*. Although we generated primers

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

for *Dendrobium* EST-SSR, they revealed a low polymorphism between *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum*. Of 309 EST-SSR markers, 56 (18.12%) generated segregating loci that could be used to construct the map, and the rate was lower than that in *Populus* (23.12%), as reported by Wang et al. (2009b). However, the EST-SSR primer pairs used in our study generated more loci per primer pair (1.55) than those in *Populus* (1.08). In the present study, both EST-SSR and SRAP markers were shown to have moderate distribution in LGs without obvious aggregation phenomenon (Figure 3), suggesting that SRAP and SSR markers were feasible and appropriate for genetic map construction in *Dendrobium* species.

As compared with EST-SSR markers, 437 SRAP primer pairs produced 160 loci for the map construction, indicating that SRAP may be more informative in the study of *Dendrobium*. However, SSRs were very useful for the integration of the parental maps (Achere et al., 2004). Clearly, more molecular markers are still needed to join those unlinked markers and small LGs of the primary map we constructed here. It is not surprising that low EST-SSR polymorphism was revealed in the *Dendrobium* plants. The SSR loci mapped in the present study were derived from ESTs, transcripts of functional genes underlying certain physiological processes. Despite the low polymorphism and low abundance, EST-SSR markers are powerful in identifying gene(s) or genetic loci that underlie traits in candidate-based association genetics. Therefore, the EST-based linkage map may be useful for the identification of both genes and QTL that can be further applied to marker-assisted selection of *Dendrobium*, such as the breeding of high levels of medicinal ingredients.

The haploid chromosome number of *D. officinale* and *D. aduncum* is 19 (Cheng et al., 1985), which is smaller than the number of 27 LGs defined by the SSR and SRAP markers. In theory, the number of linkage groups should be consistent with the number of haploid chromosomes. More linkages than theoretically expected were reported in many other plants such as roses (Dugo et al., 2005), olive (la Rosa et al., 2003), lily (Abe et al., 2002), sweet potato (Kriegner et al., 2003; Oliveira et al., 2007), and pecan (Beedanagari et al., 2005). Clearly, many of these described LGs will become fused when additional markers are included. In some cases, an insufficient number of markers may still give a similar number of LGs as the actual chromosome number, but likely without one-to-one correspondence due to missing information on a subset of the chromosome (Wang and Porter, 2004). Eventually, any saturated genetic map should have the number of LGs equal to the haploid number (Yasukochi, 1998; Oliveira et al., 2007). More advanced genotyping technology, such as high-throughput SNP fingerprint at the whole genome or transcriptome scale would generate a large number of DNA markers, necessary for the incorporation of complete genetic linkage maps.

The genetic map of the *Dendrobium* hybrid constructed in the present study brings new insights into genetic architecture and paves an appropriate basis for further comparative mapping among *Dendrobium* species. This preliminary linkage map for *Dendrobium* species that have limited genetic information, constructed using the EST-SSR and SRAP markers, can provide an important framework for future construction of high-density genetic linkage map. However, it is still necessary to construct a moderately or highly saturated genetic linkage map for successive QTL mapping and marker-assisted selections. In the long term, the present study will benefit positional cloning when tight linkages between molecular markers and important genes are established. In addition, confirming the corresponding relationship between LGs and chromosomes for QTL mapping, physical map construction will be needed in the future.

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation (#31070298, #30870180), the Zhejiang Scientific and Technological Program (#2008C12081), the Hangzhou Scientific and Technological Program (#20080432T06), and the Qiantang Scholar Program.

REFERENCES

- Abe H, Nakano M, Nakatsuka A, Nakayama M, et al. (2002). Genetic analysis of floral anthocyanin pigmentation traits in Asiatic hybrid lily using molecular linkage maps. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 105: 1175-1182.
- Achere V, Faivre-Rampant P, Jeandroz S, Besnard G, et al. (2004). A full saturated linkage map of *Picea abies* including AFLP, SSR, ESTP, 5S rDNA and morphological markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 108: 1602-1613.
- Beedanagari SR, Dove SK, Wood BW and Conner PJ (2005). A first linkage map of pecan cultivars based on RAPD and AFLP markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 110: 1127-1137.
- Bulpitt CJ, Li Y, Bulpitt PF and Wang J (2007). The use of orchids in Chinese medicine. J. R. Soc. Med. 100: 558-563.
- Chakravarti A, Lasher LK and Reefer JE (1991). A maximum likelihood method for estimating genome length using genetic linkage data. *Genetics* 128: 175-182.
- Cheng SJ, Hu ZH, Li XL and Chen RY (1985). A preliminary study on the chromosomes of dendrobium in China. Acta Hortic. Sin. 12: 119-124.
- Chinese Pharmacopoeia Editorial Committee (2000). Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China. Chemical Industry Press, Beijing.
- Dugo ML, Satovic Z, Millan T, Cubero JI, et al. (2005). Genetic mapping of QTLs controlling horticultural traits in diploid roses. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111: 511-520.
- Grattapaglia D and Sederoff R (1994). Genetic linkage maps of *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Eucalyptus urophylla* using a pseudo-testcross: mapping strategy and RAPD markers. *Genetics* 137: 1121-1137.
- Grosse WM, Kappes SM, Laegreid WW, Keele JW, et al. (1999). Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) discovery and linkage mapping of bovine cytokine genes. *Mamm. Genome* 10: 1062-1069.
- Hodgetts RB, Aleksiuk MA, Brown A, Clarke C, et al. (2001). Development of microsatellite markers for white spruce (*Picea glauca*) and related species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 102: 1252-1258.
- Jones CJ, Edwards KJ, Castaglione S, Winfield MO, et al. (1997). Reproducibility testing of RAPD, AFLP and SSR markers in plants by a network of European laboratories. *Mol. Breed.* 3: 381-390.
- Kosambi DD (1943). The estimation of map distances from recombination values. Ann. Human Genet. 12: 172-175.
- Kriegner A, Cervantes JC, Burg K, Mwanga ROM, et al. (2003). A genetic linkage map of sweetpotato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) based on AFLP markers. *Mol. Breed.* 11: 169-185.
- la Rosa R, Angiolillo A, Guerrero C, Pellegrini M, et al. (2003). A first linkage map of olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivars using RAPD, AFLP, RFLP and SSR markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 106: 1273-1282.
- Li G and Quiros CF (2001). Sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP), a new marker system based on a simple PCR reaction: its application to mapping and gene tagging in *Brassica*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 103: 455-461.
- Liu RH and Meng JL (2003). MapDraw: a microsoft excel macro for drawing genetic linkage maps based on given genetic linkage data. *Yi Chuan* 25: 317-321.
- Lu JJ, Zhao HY, Suo NN, Wang S, et al. (2012a). Genetic linkage maps of *Dendrobium moniliforme* and *D. officinale* based on EST-SSR, SRAP, ISSR and RAPD markers. *Sci. Hortic.* 137: 1-10.
- Lu JJ, Suo NN, Hu X, Wang S, et al. (2012b). Development and characterization of 110 novel EST-SSR markers for Dendrobium officinale (Orchidaceae). Am. J. Bot. 99: e415-e420.
- Oliveira KM, Pinto LR, Marconi TG, Margarido GRA, et al. (2007). Functional integrated genetic linkage map based on EST-markers for a sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) commercial cross. *Mol. Breed.* 20: 189-208.
- Rajora OP, Rahman MH, Dayanandan S and Mosseler A (2001). Isolation, characterization, inheritance and linkage of microsatellite DNA markers in white spruce (*Picea glauca*) and their usefulness in other spruce species. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 264: 871-882.
- Shepherd M, Cross M, Maguire L, Dieters J, et al. (2002). Transpecific microsatellites for hard pines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 104: 819-827.
- Stam P (1993). Construction of integrated genetic linkage maps by means of a new computer package: Join Map. *Plant J.* 3: 739-744.
- Testolin R, Marrazzo T and Cipriani G (2000). Cross-species amplification of microsatellite markers isolated from peach

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)

by means of heterologous primer pairs. Acta Hortic. 538: 511-516.

Tsi ZH (1980). A preliminary study of the orchid genus Dendrobium Sw. in China. Acta Phytotax. Sin. 18: 427-449.

- Tsi ZH, Chen SC, Luo YB and Zhu GH (1999). Orchidaceae (3). In: Angiospermae, Monocotyledoneae Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinica (Tsi ZH, ed.). Science Press, Beijing.
- Wang B and Porter AH (2004). An AFLP-based interspecific linkage map of sympatric, hybridizing Colias butterflies. Genetics 168: 215-225.

Wang Y, Li ZJ and Peng HM (1999). The Dendrobium. Science Press, Beijing.

- Wang HZ, Feng SG, Lu JJ, Shi NN, et al. (2009a). Phylogenetic study and molecular identification of 31 *Dendrobium* species using inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. *Sci. Hortic.* 122: 440-447.
- Wang Y, Wang Y, Tan B, Zhang B, et al. (2009b). A genetic linkage map of *Populus adenopoda* Maxim. x *P. alba* L. hybrid based on SSR and SRAP markers. *Euphytica* 173: 193-205.
- Xue D, Feng S, Zhao H, Jiang H, et al. (2010). The linkage maps of *Dendrobium* species based on RAPD and SRAP markers. J. Genet. Genomics 37: 197-204.

Yasukochi Y (1998). A dense genetic map of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, covering all chromosomes based on 1018 molecular markers. *Genetics* 150: 1513-1525.

Zheng YF, Shi H and Yang XZ (2010). Influence on islet cell secretion by *Dendrobium* compound. *Chin. J. Hosp. Pharm.* 30: 551-553.

Genetics and Molecular Research 11 (4): 4654-4667 (2012)