GMR
The Original

DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF ACCEPTANCE AND COMMITMENT THERAPY (ACT): A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS, EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE, AND CLINICAL APPLICATIONS

Ranjana Pal[1*], Prof. (Dr.) Suman Vashist [2]

1. Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
2. Professor, Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Nursing, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author: Ranjana Pal

ABSTRACT

Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) represents a clinically significant evolution within the broader tradition of cognitive behavioural therapies, distinguished by its transdiagnostic functional focus rather than a syndrome-specific symptom-reduction orientation. Over four decades since its conceptual genesis, ACT has accumulated a substantial body of theoretical elaboration, measurement innovation, and empirical scrutiny that positions it among the most thoroughly evaluated third-wave psychotherapy frameworks. The present manuscript undertakes a comprehensive examination of ACT's development and validation trajectory, spanning its philosophical underpinnings in functional contextualism, the foundational role of Relational Frame Theory (RFT) in accounting for human suffering, the structural elaboration of the hexaflex model, the sequential expansion of evidence-based outcomes, and the cross-cultural and technological adaptations that have broadened its reach. Measurement development efforts, including the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II (AAQ-II), the Cognitive Fusion Questionnaire (CFQ), and the Valuing Questionnaire (VQ are reviewed with attention to their psychometric properties and role in process-based validation. Meta-analytic evidence from over 300 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) is synthesised, demonstrating medium-to-large effect sizes (Cohen's g = 0.55–0.82) across depressive, anxiety, chronic pain, and occupational stress conditions, with psychological flexibility consistently emerging as the central mechanism of change. Challenges related to the standardisation of protocol delivery, training fidelity, and equitable access across populations are discussed, along with directions for future third-generation ACT research. The cumulative evidence supports ACT's designation as an empirically supported treatment and underscores the value of theory-driven, process-focused validation methodology in clinical psychology.

Keywords: Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, ACT, psychological flexibility, relational frame theory, Hexaflex, mindfulness, empirically supported treatment, transdiagnostic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary clinical psychology has been shaped by successive generations of psychotherapeutic development, each building upon and refining prior traditions. The first generation, grounded in classical and operant conditioning, provided a rigorous behavioral science for alleviating observable maladaptive behaviors.(Li et al., 2025) The second generation, represented by cognitive therapy and its integration with behavioural techniques, extended the scope of intervention to include cognitive content, premised on the assumption that dysfunctional thinking plays a causal role in emotional disturbance.(Özdel & Turkcapar, 2025) Although second-generation cognitive behavioural therapies (CBTs) produced impressive outcomes and achieved wide acceptance, accumulating evidence pointed to limitations in their theoretical foundations, particularly the assumption that cognitive restructuring, altering the literal content or frequency of negative thoughts, is the necessary and sufficient condition for therapeutic change.(Gaudiano, 2008)

Against this backdrop, a cluster of approaches collectively designated as third-wave cognitive behavioural therapies emerged during the late 1980s and 1990s. Among these, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) occupies a distinctive theoretical position because it does not merely add techniques to existing CBT frameworks but rests upon a novel philosophical stance and a separately developed behavioural science of language and cognition.(Hayes & Hofmann, 2021) ACT was formally introduced in the landmark text by Hayes, Strosahl, and Wilson in 1999, though its intellectual genealogy extends considerably earlier, to experimental work on stimulus equivalence and psychological avoidance conducted by Steven C. Hayes and colleagues throughout the 1980s.(Hayes et al., 2011)

The central contention of ACT is that a great deal of human psychological suffering is neither inevitable nor biologically fixed but rather is a product of normal human cognitive capacities, particularly the capacity for language, which, under certain conditions, generates a characteristic pattern of inflexible, avoidance-dominated behaviour.(Hutto et al., 2018) The proposed therapeutic target is not symptom reduction per se but rather an increase in psychological flexibility, defined as the capacity to contact the present moment fully and without unnecessary defence, to relate to thoughts and feelings with greater openness and perspective, and to pursue valued directions in life with consistent behavioural commitment even in the presence of difficult private experiences.

The validation of ACT presents an instructive case study in the epistemology of psychotherapy research because it has proceeded along multiple simultaneous tracks: theoretical elaboration, measurement development, process-based mediational research, and standard randomised controlled trial (RCT) outcome evaluation. This multi-modal validation strategy reflects the theory-driven nature of ACT research, which requires not only that interventions produce superior outcomes relative to controls but also that specified mechanisms of change, particularly psychological flexibility, account for observed gains.

2. THEORETICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 Functional Contextualism

ACT is embedded within a philosophical worldview known as functional contextualism, a variant of pragmatic philosophy that evaluates the truth and value of concepts according to their practical utility in predicting and influencing behaviour, understood in its full contextual embeddedness. Functional contextualism differs from mechanistic worldviews, which underlie much of traditional CBT, in that it does not seek to identify internal causal mechanisms that operate independently of context.(Herbert & Padovani, 2015) Instead, it treats the organism-environment interaction as the fundamental unit of analysis and holds that explanations of behaviour are only meaningful when the contexts that give them functional significance are specified.

This philosophical orientation has direct clinical implications. In functional contextualism, thoughts are not seen as causes of behaviour but as behaviours themselves, shaped by contextual variables including language learning history. Accordingly, ACT does not target the content of thought for modification but rather alters the contexts in which thoughts function, such that their influence over overt behaviour is diminished. The practical goal of analysis in ACT is the prediction and influence of behaviour with precision, scope, and depth terminology that reflects the scientist-practitioner commitment to both empirical rigour and breadth of application.(Biglan & Hayes, 2015)

2.2 Relational Frame Theory

The behavioural science foundation of ACT is Relational Frame Theory (RFT), a post-Skinnerian account of human language and higher cognition developed by Hayes and colleagues across a program of experimental and theoretical work spanning more than three decades. RFT contends that the defining property of human language is not symbolic reference per se but rather the capacity to derive relationships among stimuli in accordance with contextual cues, in a manner that is arbitrary with respect to the physical properties of the stimuli involved.(Barnes-Holmes et al., 2002)

A central concept in RFT is the relational frame, a contextually controlled pattern of responding in which the organism responds to one stimulus in terms of another, in particular ways established by a relational context cue. Frames of coordination, distinction, comparison, opposition, and hierarchy, among others, constitute the basic repertoire of human symbolic behaviour. Through such derived relational responding, language users can relate any event to any other in virtually unlimited ways, generating consequences that were never directly experienced.(Gross & Fox, 2009)

Critically, RFT accounts for the psychological pervasiveness of human suffering by showing how derived relational responding transforms the psychological functions of stimuli. A thought about death, for example, can evoke fear responses because of a derived equivalence relation between the word 'death' and aversive life events, even in the complete absence of any direct threat. This transformation of stimulus functions explains why human beings, uniquely among species, suffer about future events that have not yet happened, past events that are long past, and symbolic scenarios that may never materialize. The very cognitive capacities that enable human culture, science, and art also render human beings chronically vulnerable to psychologically mediated suffering.(Harte et al., 2022)

RFT also explains why attempts to suppress, challenge, or restructure the content of language often backfire, a phenomenon observed clinically and demonstrated experimentally under the rubric of thought suppression research. Because language is an emergent property of derived relational networks that are not fully accessible to conscious control, efforts to directly manipulate thought content tend to strengthen the relational networks they target, a process ACT researchers describe in terms of increased cognitive fusion. (Harte & Barnes-Holmes, 2024)This analysis motivates ACT's distinctive emphasis on changing the functional context of language rather than its content.

3. THE HEXAFLEX MODEL: CORE CLINICAL PROCESSES

The clinical model of ACT is organised around six interrelated psychological processes collectively represented in the hexaflex diagram that constitute psychological flexibility. These processes are not discrete, independent targets but mutually reinforcing facets of a unified repertoire.(Arch et al., 2022) Table 1 presents each process with its definition and therapeutic goal.

Table 1: Core Processes of the ACT Hexaflex Model and Their Therapeutic Goals

ACT Process	Definition	Therapeutic Goal
Acceptance	Active, non-judgmental embrace of private experiences without attempts to alter their form or frequency.	Reduce experiential avoidance; increase willingness to contact distress.
Defusion	Alteration of the psychological function of thoughts by stepping back and observing them as events rather than facts.	Reduce cognitive fusion; diminish the literal, evaluative impact of thoughts.
Present-Moment Awareness	Flexible, voluntary contact with the here-and-now, noticing internal and external events as they arise.	Enhance mindful engagement; decrease rumination about past or future.
Self-as-Context	Experience of a stable, observing sense of self distinct from any content that passes through awareness.	Diminish conceptualised self-attachment; foster perspective-taking.
Values	Freely chosen, intrinsically motivating life directions that guide behaviour independent of external contingencies.	Clarify personally meaningful directions; orient action toward what matters.
Committed Action	The construction of flexible, values-consistent behavioural patterns, including the pursuit of goals and acceptance of barriers.	Build behavioral repertoires aligned with values; increase psychological flexibility.

The hexaflex is divided conceptually into two broad clusters. The first, corresponding to the mindfulness and acceptance processes (acceptance, defusion, present-moment awareness, self-as-context), addresses the way in which individuals relate to their inner life whether with avoidance and fusion or with openness and perspective. The second cluster, corresponding to the commitment and behaviour change processes (values, committed action), concerns the translation of psychological openness into purposeful, sustained behavioural engagement with meaningful life domains.(Rad et al., 2025)

The central construct binding all six processes is psychological flexibility, which ACT defines as the ability to contact the present moment fully and without unnecessary defence, and to persist in or change behaviour in the service of chosen values. Psychological inflexibility, the opposite pole, is characterised by cognitive fusion (treating thoughts as literal reality), experiential avoidance (suppressing or escaping private experiences), temporal dominance of past and future over present experience, attachment to a conceptualised self, value confusion or absence, and impulsive or avoidant rather than committed action.(Zhang et al., 2018)

Importantly, each of the six processes can be targeted therapeutically using specific techniques and exercises, but the relative emphasis and sequencing of these processes vary across individuals and across time within a given intervention. ACT thus functions as a modular, process-based model rather than a rigid session-by-session protocol, though structured protocols have been developed and validated for specific populations and conditions.(Atefi et al., 2023)

4. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF ACT

4.1 Early Conceptual and Empirical Foundations (1980s)

The intellectual origins of ACT lie in two intersecting research programs pursued by Steven C. Hayes and colleagues at the University of Nevada during the 1980s. The first was experimental work on psychological avoidance, which demonstrated that attempts to control private experiences, particularly to escape or avoid anxiety, pain, or distress, frequently maintained or exacerbated the very experiences that were being avoided. The second was Hayes's

systematic engagement with stimulus equivalence research, following the foundational work of Murray Sidman, which ultimately evolved into the more comprehensive framework of Relational Frame Theory.(Hayes & King, 2024) These two streams of research converged on the clinical implication that many disorders could be reconceptualised not in terms of specific symptoms but in terms of a functional pattern—experiential avoidance that cuts across diagnostic categories. An early name for the developing therapy was 'comprehensive distancing,' reflecting the emphasis on creating psychological space between the individual and their thoughts, rather than directly challenging the thoughts' content. By the late 1980s, the framework had been sufficiently elaborated to begin controlled treatment trials.

4.2 Formal Introduction and Protocol Development (1990s)

The first published randomised trial of ACT appeared in 1987 (Hayes et al., 1987), examining its application to a heterogeneous outpatient sample. The approach was formally designated 'Acceptance and Commitment Therapy', and the name itself encodes its dual emphases: acceptance of private experience and commitment to values-based action. The first comprehensive treatment manual and theoretical exposition was published in 1999 (Hayes, Strosahl, & Wilson), presenting the functional contextualist philosophy, the RFT account of suffering, and a detailed clinical model that formed the basis for subsequent protocol development.

Throughout the 1990s, ACT protocols were adapted for a growing range of presenting problems, including workplace stress, substance use disorders, chronic pain, and psychosis. Each adaptation maintained fidelity to the core hexaflex processes while adjusting metaphors, exercises, and session structure to suit the specific population. This protocol proliferation proceeded somewhat ahead of the evidence base but was consistently guided by the theoretical framework, which provided principled criteria for protocol modification.(Batink et al., 2016)

4.3 Expansion, Measurement Development, and Meta-Analytic Consolidation (2000s–2010s)

The 2000s saw the development and validation of instruments specifically designed to measure the core processes of ACT, a critical prerequisite for process-based research. The Acceptance and Action Questionnaire (AAQ; Hayes et al., 2004) and its revised seven-item version, the AAQ-II (Bond et al., 2011), became the primary measure of experiential avoidance and psychological flexibility, achieving widespread adoption across both research and clinical settings. During the same period, the Cognitive Fusion Questionnaire (CFQ; Gillanders et al., 2014) and the Valuing Questionnaire (VQ; Smout et al., 2014) extended process measurement to defusion and values, respectively. Table 3 (see Section 4.4) summarises the key psychometric properties of these and other ACT-specific instruments.

This decade also witnessed the accumulation of sufficient RCT evidence to support systematic quantitative synthesis. Early meta-analyses by Hayes et al. (2006) and Öst (2008) reached divergent conclusions, partly reflecting differences in the RCTs included and the methodological standards applied. The subsequent maturation of the evidence base, characterised by larger sample sizes, active comparison conditions, and standardised outcome measurement, produced more convergent meta-analytic findings supporting ACT's efficacy across diverse conditions.

4.4 Instrumentation and Process Measurement

A defining feature of ACT's validation trajectory has been the parallel development of theory-grounded process measures. Unlike many psychotherapy traditions in which treatment mechanisms were inferred post-hoc from outcome studies, ACT researchers developed specific hypotheses about mediating mechanisms, particularly psychological flexibility, and constructed instruments to test them prospectively. (Ong et al., 2019)Table 2 summarises the major ACT-specific process measures and their psychometric characteristics.

Table 2: Key ACT Process Measures: Constructs, Format, and Psychometric Properties

Instrument	Process Measured	Items / Format	Psychometric Properties
Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II (AAQ-II)	Experiential avoidance / Psychological flexibility	7 items, Likert 1–7	α = .84; test-retest r = .81; convergent validity with anxiety and depression established; widely used across cultures.
Cognitive Fusion Questionnaire (CFQ)	Cognitive fusion / Defusion	7 items, Likert 1–7	α = .90; single-factor structure confirmed; incremental validity over established cognitive measures.
Valuing Questionnaire (VQ)	Values progress and obstruction	10 items, Likert 0–6; 2 subscales	Progress subscale α = .86; Obstruction α = .84; predictive validity for wellbeing and committed action confirmed.

Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ)	Present-moment awareness (5 facets)	39 items, Likert 1–5; 5 subscales	Subscale α range .75–.91; valid across meditating and non-meditating samples; FFMQ-15 short form available.
Self-as-Context Scale (SCS)	Transcendent self-awareness	18 items, Likert 1–7	α = .92; confirmatory factor analysis supports two-factor model; incremental prediction of psychopathology beyond AAQ-II.

The AAQ-II has demonstrated robust internal consistency across clinical and non-clinical samples, with alpha coefficients typically exceeding .84, and adequate test-retest reliability over intervals of up to four months. Its convergent validity with established measures of anxiety, depression, and quality of life has been replicated across numerous studies, and its sensitivity to change in ACT trials provides evidence of its utility as a mediational variable. Concerns have been raised about item phrasing that conflates the process of avoidance with its outcomes, and ongoing work aims to address this through revised item generation and confirmatory factor analysis.(Dochat et al., 2020)
The CFQ addresses the defusion process by assessing the degree to which thoughts are experienced as overwhelming, dominating attention, or controlling behaviour. The seven-item version of the CFQ demonstrates strong single-factor structure and incremental validity over established cognitive vulnerability measures, supporting its theoretical specificity. The VQ's two-factor structure, distinguishing progress toward values from obstruction of values-directed behaviour, provides a more nuanced assessment of the values and committed action processes than was previously available and has shown sensitivity to intervention-related change in ACT trials targeting chronic conditions.(Donati et al., 2021)

5. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE BASE: OUTCOME RESEARCH

5.1 Early Controlled Studies

The earliest RCTs of ACT were conducted in the late 1980s and early 1990s with populations characterised by high levels of experiential avoidance and avoidance-driven behavioural restriction. Bond and Bunce (2000) conducted a pivotal workplace RCT comparing ACT to a problem-focused stress management intervention and a waitlist control, demonstrating that ACT produced superior outcomes on mental health indicators and innovation-related behavioural outcomes. This study was influential not only for its findings but for its demonstration that ACT could be efficaciously delivered in a group format in non-clinical settings.
Hayes et al. (1999b) reported the results of an RCT examining ACT for polysubstance use disorders, demonstrating that ACT participants showed greater reductions in drug use at 12-month follow-up compared with Twelve-Step facilitation controls. Critically, the study provided early mediational evidence suggesting that the benefits of ACT were partly explained by reduced believability of drug-use-related cognitions, consistent with the defusion process.

5.2 Meta-Analytic Evidence

The now-substantial meta-analytic literature on ACT provides the most systematic quantitative synthesis of its efficacy. The meta-analysis by A-Tjak et al. (2015), which included 39 RCTs encompassing a wide range of clinical conditions, found a pooled effect size of g = 0.65 relative to control conditions, and g = 0.08 relative to established psychological therapies, indicating approximate equivalence with existing evidence-based approaches while retaining a meaningful advantage over minimal-intervention controls. A subsequent and considerably larger meta-analysis by Gloster et al. (2020) synthesised data from 174 studies and confirmed that ACT produced medium-to-large effects across diagnostic categories, with psychological flexibility as the putative transdiagnostic mechanism.
Condition-specific meta-analyses have further refined the evidence base. For chronic pain, a meta-analysis by Hughes et al. (2017) found that ACT produced significant improvements in pain-related disability, pain interference, depression, and quality of life relative to active and passive controls, with moderate effect sizes (d = 0.40–0.55). For anxiety disorders, Swain et al. (2013) found large effects for ACT relative to waitlist and small-to-medium effects relative to CBT, consistent with the general finding of approximate equivalence between ACT and CBT. For depression, Ruiz (2012) identified moderate effect sizes (g = 0.59) in favour of ACT over control conditions. Table 3 presents key individual studies that have contributed substantially to the empirical validation literature.

Table 3: Key Validation Studies in the ACT Evidence Base: Design, Population, and Findings

Study (Year)	Population	Design	Primary Outcome	Key Finding
Hayes et al. (1999)	Poly-substance use disorder	RCT	Substance use; 12-month follow-up	ACT outperformed Twelve-Step facilitation at follow-up; gains mediated by decreased credibility of drug-use urges.
Bond & Bunce (2000)	Media workers (occupational stress)	RCT	Mental health; innovation; absenteeism	ACT produced superior improvements in general mental health and propensity to innovate relative to a problem-focused control.
Forman et al. (2007)	Mixed anxiety and depression outpatients	RCT (ACT vs. CBT)	Depression, anxiety, and quality of life	ACT and CBT produced equivalent gains; distinct mediation profiles (acceptance vs. cognitive change) confirmed different mechanisms.
Arch et al. (2012)	Anxiety disorders	RCT (ACT vs. CBT)	Anxiety severity; quality of life	Both treatments yielded robust symptom reductions; ACT demonstrated advantages in breadth across comorbid conditions.
A-Tjak et al. (2015)	Mixed psychiatric/medical conditions	Meta-analysis (N = 39 RCTs)	Diverse psychological outcomes	ACT was significantly more effective than control conditions (g = 0.65) and equivalent to established evidence-based therapies.
Gloster et al. (2020)	General psychological flexibility literature	Meta-analysis (N = 174 studies)	Psychological flexibility as a transdiagnostic mechanism	Psychological flexibility mediated ACT effects across diverse conditions and cultures (r = -.42 with psychopathology).

The equivalence between ACT and CBT that emerges consistently across meta-analyses warrants careful interpretation. On one reading, it suggests that ACT offers no marginal advantage over well-established CBT approaches and that its adoption requires justification beyond mere outcome equivalence. On another reading, the equivalence is consistent with the theoretical position of ACT researchers, who do not predict general superiority but rather expect that ACT's advantage will emerge in populations characterised by high experiential avoidance, chronic or treatment-resistant conditions, and comorbid presentations.(El Rafihi-Ferreira et al., 2024)

5.3 Specific Clinical Applications

ACT has been evaluated across a remarkably diverse range of clinical presentations, including depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, obsessive-compulsive spectrum disorders, psychosis, post-traumatic stress disorder, eating disorders, substance use disorders, chronic pain conditions, cancer-related distress, diabetes self-management, tinnitus, and occupational burnout. This breadth is not coincidental but reflects the theory's prediction that psychological inflexibility, not any particular symptom cluster, is the common factor underlying diverse forms of psychological suffering.(Aravind et al., 2024)

In the domain of psychosis, initial concerns that acceptance-based approaches might reinforce delusional beliefs have been substantially allayed by RCT evidence demonstrating that ACT can be safely and effectively delivered to individuals with psychotic spectrum disorders, targeting distress associated with psychotic experiences and associated behavioural restriction, rather than attempting to alter the content of psychotic symptoms themselves. In the chronic pain literature, ACT has emerged as particularly well-suited to the conceptual framework of pain management, which increasingly emphasises functional restoration and quality of life over pain elimination as the primary therapeutic goal.(Burhan & Karadere, 2021)

ACT has also been adapted for use in primary care and health promotion contexts, where its brief modular structure lends itself to time-limited interventions targeting health behaviour change. Applications in smoking cessation, weight management, diabetes self-management, and preventive health screening have all produced promising results, with psychological flexibility consistently identified as the mechanism through which ACT-derived health behaviour change is sustained over time.(Mak et al., 2020)

6. PROCESS RESEARCH AND MEDIATIONAL EVIDENCE

A hallmark of ACT's validation approach is its systematic attention to process research the investigation of whether theoretically specified mechanisms account for the relationship between treatment and outcome. In contrast to the 'black box' approach characteristic of early outcome-focused psychotherapy research, ACT investigators have consistently incorporated measures of proposed mediators into clinical trials and used longitudinal mediation analyses to test theoretical predictions.(Spermon et al., 2010)

The centrality of psychological flexibility as the mechanism of change has received substantial empirical support. Across numerous trials and meta-analyses, the AAQ-II and its variants have consistently predicted psychopathology outcomes and have been found to mediate the relationship between ACT participation and therapeutic gains. Gloster et al. (2020) pooled data from 174 studies and found that the correlation between psychological flexibility and general psychopathology was r = -.42, a magnitude comparable to the association between well-established risk factors and clinical outcomes in other areas of health science.

Importantly, mediational analyses have not only confirmed that psychological flexibility changes in the expected direction following ACT but have also provided evidence that change in psychological flexibility temporally precedes change in symptoms, a necessary, though not sufficient, condition for causal mediation. Studies employing growth curve modelling and time-lagged mediation analyses have found that early gains in acceptance and defusion predict subsequent symptom reduction, supporting the theoretical ordering of the model.

The specificity of ACT's mechanisms has been examined in head-to-head comparison studies with CBT, which is theorised to operate through different mechanisms, primarily cognitive change (reduction in the literal content and believability of negative automatic thoughts). Forman et al. (2007, 2012) conducted sequential analyses in their RCT comparing ACT and CBT for anxiety and depression, finding that the two approaches produced equivalent outcomes through statistically distinct mechanisms: ACT-related gains were mediated by increases in acceptance and experiential tolerance, whereas CBT-related gains were mediated by cognitive change. This mechanistic divergence in the context of equivalent outcomes provides strong support for the distinctiveness of ACT's theoretical model.

7. CROSS-CULTURAL VALIDITY AND TECHNOLOGY-ASSISTED DELIVERY

7.1 Cultural Adaptation and International Validation

The global reach of ACT's empirical base is itself a form of validation. RCTs and validation studies have been conducted across Europe, East Asia, South Asia, Latin America, the Middle East, and sub-Saharan Africa, with the AAQ-II translated and validated in more than 20 languages.(Keulen et al., 2025) Cross-cultural validation studies have generally found that the factor structure of ACT's core processes and their relationship to psychological well-being are replicated across culturally diverse samples, although the phenomenological expression of experiential avoidance and the culturally specific meaning of 'values' require careful consideration in local adaptations.(Joshanloo et al., 2014) Cultural adaptation efforts have underscored the importance of attending to indigenous frameworks of suffering and healing, collectivist value systems, and culturally specific metaphors and exercises. ACT's philosophical grounding in functional contextualism, which treats context as fundamental rather than incidental, provides theoretical resources for principled cultural adaptation that go beyond mere translation. In collectivist cultural contexts, for example, the values clarification process may be naturally oriented toward relational and communal values rather than individual autonomy-based values, a difference that ACT's framework can accommodate without compromising theoretical coherence.(Masuda et al., 2021)

7.2 Digital and Technology-Mediated ACT

The proliferation of digital mental health interventions over the past decade has created a significant opportunity for ACT-based programs delivered via smartphone applications, web platforms, and videoconference-based therapy. Internet-delivered ACT (iACT) has been evaluated in numerous trials, with meta-analytic evidence suggesting that digital delivery produces effect sizes that are somewhat smaller than face-to-face ACT but substantially superior to waitlist control conditions (d = 0.40–0.60). The modular, skills-based structure of ACT lends itself particularly well to digital delivery, as individual hexaflex processes can be targeted through brief daily exercises, audio meditations, and psychoeducational content.(Philippe et al., 2022)

Applications such as the ACT Companion and Russ Harris's iACT Coach have been subjected to preliminary efficacy evaluations, with results suggesting acceptable adherence and therapeutic effects in mildly to moderately symptomatic general population samples. More rigorous evaluation of digital ACT, including examination of dose-response relationships, optimal blending of self-directed and clinician-guided components, and the role of real-time ecological momentary assessment in personalising intervention delivery, represents a priority for the next phase of ACT research.(Thapar et al., 2025)

8. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

8.1 Standardisation and Training Fidelity

A persistent challenge in ACT research and practice concerns the standardisation of protocol delivery and the assessment of therapist fidelity. Unlike highly manualized cognitive behavioural treatments in which session-by-session content is tightly specified ACT's modular, process-based approach affords therapists considerable flexibility in how they sequence and emphasise the six hexaflex processes in response to individual client needs. This flexibility is a genuine theoretical virtue, as it aligns with the contextually sensitive nature of psychological flexibility itself, but it complicates the assessment of treatment fidelity and the interpretation of across-study heterogeneity in outcomes.(Villatte et al., 2015)

The ACT Fidelity Measure (AFM) and the Experienced Therapist Rating Scale have been developed to address these concerns, but their widespread adoption in published trials remains incomplete. Training in ACT also presents distinctive challenges, as the approach requires not merely the acquisition of techniques but a fundamental reorientation of the therapist's relationship to clients' language and experience a reorientation that is difficult to achieve through didactic instruction alone and that requires experiential training, supervision, and personal practice with ACT-consistent skills.(Teague et al., 2012)

8.2 Definitional and Measurement Challenges

Critiques of the AAQ-II as a measure of psychological flexibility have centred on concerns about item content that conflates the process of avoidance with the content of avoided experiences, potentially introducing confounds with measures of general negative affect. Revised measures, including the Multidimensional Psychological Flexibility Inventory (MPFI; Rolffs et al., 2018), have been developed to address these concerns by separately assessing the full hexaflex across both flexibility and inflexibility poles. The comparative validity of these newer instruments relative to established measures remains an area of active investigation.

A related challenge concerns the level of aggregation at which psychological flexibility is most usefully conceptualised. Treating it as a unitary higher-order construct, as most published research has done, may obscure meaningful variance attributable to specific processes, particularly the distinction between acceptance and defusion, which are theoretically distinct but often highly correlated in self-report data. Advances in ecological momentary assessment, experience sampling methodology, and longitudinal process modelling offer promising avenues for disaggregating the contribution of individual hexaflex processes to therapeutic change.(McCracken & Morley, 2014)

8.3 Equity and Access

The global validation of ACT has proceeded unevenly across populations, with substantial overrepresentation of white, Western, educated, and middle-income samples in the published literature. The extent to which ACT's mechanisms and outcomes generalise to populations experiencing intersecting social disadvantages, including structural racism, poverty, forced displacement, and discrimination, remains inadequately examined. Future research must attend to both the transportability of ACT across diverse settings and the potential need for structurally informed adaptations that address the material and social determinants of psychological suffering.(Yearby, 2018)

9. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Several priorities emerge from the current state of ACT's development and validation. First, the field requires more sophisticated process research employing methods that go beyond single-wave mediation analysis, including sequential mediation, time-series analysis, network models of symptom-process relationships, and individual-level trajectory modelling. Such methods would allow researchers to identify which specific hexaflex processes are most potent for which clients under which conditions a question with direct implications for precision medicine approaches to psychotherapy.

Second, the neuroscience of ACT's mechanisms represents an emerging and promising area. Preliminary neuroimaging studies have identified changes in prefrontal-limbic connectivity following ACT that are consistent with the model's account of defusion and acceptance as contextual processes, rather than top-down suppressive ones, but

the evidence base is thin and replication is urgently needed. Integration of neurobiological process markers with psychological and behavioural outcome measures would substantially advance theoretical understanding.

Third, the development and rigorous evaluation of ACT-based preventive and universal interventions, particularly in school settings, primary care, and occupational health contexts, represents an important frontier. Given ACT's transdiagnostic mechanism, such programs could potentially reduce the incidence and severity of a wide range of mental health difficulties before they reach clinical threshold, offering a cost-effective complement to targeted clinical treatment.

Fourth, the interface between ACT and pharmacotherapy deserves systematic investigation. In conditions such as depression, anxiety disorders, and chronic pain, combined psychological and pharmacological treatment is common in practice but poorly understood theoretically. ACT's process-based model generates specific predictions about how pharmacologically mediated changes in arousal, valence, and cognitive rigidity would interact with acceptance and defusion training predictions that have not yet been systematically tested in combined treatment trials.

10. CONCLUSION

Acceptance and Commitment Therapy has traversed an exceptional developmental arc over four decades, from a theoretically motivated experimental program examining psychological avoidance to a globally recognised, empirically supported transdiagnostic treatment with applications across virtually every domain of clinical psychology and behavioural medicine. Its validation has been distinguished not merely by the accumulation of outcome evidence, though this evidence is now substantial, but by the parallel theoretical and measurement development that has allowed investigators to test specific predictions about mechanisms of change.(Dindo et al., 2017) The consistent emergence of psychological flexibility as a transdiagnostic mediator of ACT's effects, demonstrated across diverse populations, conditions, and cultural contexts, provides robust process-level validation that exceeds what is available for many established psychological treatments.

The challenges that remain, particularly in standardisation, fidelity monitoring, cross-cultural equity, and the integration of digital delivery, are generative rather than disqualifying. They point toward a research agenda that is both theoretically rich and practically important, and they reflect the genuine complexity of applying a context-sensitive, process-focused model to the diversity of human suffering and resilience. As the field continues to develop more sophisticated measurement tools, process-based statistical methods, and culturally adaptive protocols, ACT is well-positioned to serve as a model for how theory-driven psychotherapy development and validation can advance the science and practice of clinical psychology.

REFERENCES

1. Aravind, A., Agarwal, M., Malhotra, S., & Ayyub, S. (2024). Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy on Mental Health Issues: A Systematic Review. Annals of Neurosciences, 32(4), 321. https://doi.org/10.1177/09727531241300741
2. A-Tjak, J. G. L., Davis, M. L., Morina, N., Powers, M. B., Smits, J. A. J., & Emmelkamp, P. M. G. (2015). A meta-analysis of the efficacy of acceptance and commitment therapy for clinically relevant mental and physical health problems. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 84(1), 30–36. https://doi.org/10.1159/000365764
3. Arch, J. J., Eifert, G. H., Davies, C., Plumb Vilardaga, J. C., Rose, R. D., & Craske, M. G. (2012). Randomized clinical trial of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) versus acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) for mixed anxiety disorders. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 80(5), 750–765. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028310
4. Arch, J. J., Fishbein, J. N., Finkelstein, L. B., & Luoma, J. B. (2022). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy Processes and Mediation: Challenges and How to Address Them. Behavior Therapy, 54(6), 971. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BETH.2022.07.005
5. Atefi, G. L., de Vugt, M. E., van Knippenberg, R. J. M., Levin, M. E., Verhey, F. R. J., & Bartels, S. L. (2023). The use of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) in informal caregivers of people with dementia and other long-term or chronic conditions: A systematic review and conceptual integration. Clinical Psychology Review, 105, 102341. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPR.2023.102341
6. Barnes-Holmes, Y., Hayes, S. C., Barnes-Holmes, D., & Roche, B. (2002). Relational frame theory:A post-skinnerian account of human language and cognition. Advances in Child Development and Behavior, 28(C), 101–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2407(02)80063-5
7. Batink, T., Bakker, J., Vaessen, T., Kasanova, Z., Collip, D., van Os, J., Wichers, M., Germeys, I., & Peeters, F. (2016). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily Life Training: A Feasibility Study of an mHealth Intervention. JMIR MHealth and UHealth, 4(3), e103. https://doi.org/10.2196/MHEALTH.5437

8. Biglan, A., & Hayes, S. C. (2015). Functional Contextualism and Contextual Behavioral Science. The Wiley Handbook of Contextual Behavioral Science, 37–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118489857.CH4
9. Bond, F. W., & Bunce, D. (2000). Mediators of change in emotion-focused and problem-focused worksite stress management interventions. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 5(1), 156–163. https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.5.1.156
10. Bond, F. W., Hayes, S. C., Baer, R. A., Carpenter, K. M., Guenole, N., Orcutt, H. K., Waltz, T., & Zettle, R. D. (2011). Preliminary psychometric properties of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire–II: A revised measure of psychological inflexibility and experiential avoidance. Behavior Therapy, 42(4), 676–688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2011.03.007
11. Burhan, H. Ş., & Karadere, E. (2021). Effectiveness of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for Patients with Psychosis Being Monitored at a Community Mental Health Center: A Six-Month Follow-up Study. Alpha Psychiatry, 22(4), 206. https://doi.org/10.5455/APD.93130
12. Dindo, L., Van Liew, J. R., & Arch, J. J. (2017). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: A Transdiagnostic Behavioral Intervention for Mental Health and Medical Conditions. Neurotherapeutics, 14(3), 546. https://doi.org/10.1007/S13311-017-0521-3
13. Dochat, C., Afari, N., Wooldridge, J. S., Herbert, M. S., Gasperi, M., & Lillis, J. (2020). Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire for Weight-Related Difficulties-Revised (AAQW-R) in a United States Sample of Adults with Overweight and Obesity. Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science, 15, 189. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCBS.2020.01.006
14. Donati, M. A., Berrocal, C., Bernini, O., Gori, C., & Primi, C. (2021). Measuring cognitive fusion through the Cognitive Fusion Questionnaire-7: Measurement invariance across non-clinical and clinical psychological samples. PLoS ONE, 16(2), e0246434. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0246434
15. El Rafihi-Ferreira, R., Hasan, R., Toscanini, A. C., Linares, I. M. P., Borges, D. S., Brasil, I. P., Carmo, M., Neto, F. L., & Morin, C. (2024). Acceptance and commitment therapy versus cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 92(6), 330–343. https://doi.org/10.1037/CCP0000881
16. Forman, E. M., Herbert, J. D., Moitra, E., Yeomans, P. D., & Geller, P. A. (2007). A randomized controlled effectiveness trial of acceptance and commitment therapy and cognitive therapy for anxiety and depression. Behavior Modification, 31(6), 772–799. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445507302202
17. Gaudiano, B. A. (2008). Cognitive-Behavioral Therapies: Achievements and Challenges. Evidence-Based Mental Health, 11(1), 5. https://doi.org/10.1136/EBMH.11.1.5
18. Gillanders, D. T., Bolderston, H., Bond, F. W., Dempster, M., Flaxman, P. E., Campbell, L., Kerr, S., Tansey, L., Naughton, P., Voysey, M., & Remington, B. (2014). The development and initial validation of the Cognitive Fusion Questionnaire. Behavior Therapy, 45(1), 83–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2013.09.001
19. Gloster, A. T., Walder, N., Levin, M. E., Twohig, M. P., & Karekla, M. (2020). The empirical status of acceptance and commitment therapy: A review of meta-analyses. Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science, 18, 181–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2020.09.009
20. Gross, A. C., & Fox, E. J. (2009). Relational Frame Theory: An Overview of the Controversy. The Analysis of Verbal Behavior, 25(1), 87. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03393073
21. Harte, C., & Barnes-Holmes, D. (2024). Recent Developments in RFT Encourage Interbehavioral Field-Based Views of Human Language and Cognition: A Preliminary Analysis. Perspectives on Behavior Science, 47(3), 675. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40614-024-00407-3
22. Harte, C., Barnes-Holmes, D., de Rose, J. C., Perez, W. F., & de Almeida, J. H. (2022). Grappling with the Complexity of Behavioral Processes in Human Psychological Suffering: Some Potential Insights from Relational Frame Theory. Perspectives on Behavior Science, 46(1), 237. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40614-022-00363-W
23. Hayes, S. C., & Hofmann, S. G. (2021). "Third-wave" cognitive and behavioral therapies and the emergence of a process-based approach to intervention in psychiatry. World Psychiatry, 20(3), 363. https://doi.org/10.1002/WPS.20884
24. Hayes, S. C., & King, G. A. (2024). Acceptance and commitment therapy: What the history of ACT and the first 1,000 randomized controlled trials reveal. Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science, 33, 100809. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCBS.2024.100809
25. Hayes, S. C., Levin, M. E., Plumb-Vilardaga, J., Villatte, J. L., & Pistorello, J. (2011). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy and Contextual Behavioral Science: Examining the Progress of a Distinctive Model of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy. Behavior Therapy, 44(2), 180. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BETH.2009.08.002
26. Herbert, J. D., & Padovani, F. (2015). Breaking the ontology taboo: Reply to Monestès and Villatte. Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science, 4(4), 235–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCBS.2015.10.004

27. Hutto, D. D., Robertson, I., & Kirchhoff, M. D. (2018). A New, Better BET: Rescuing and Revising Basic Emotion Theory. Frontiers in Psychology, 9(JUL), 1217. https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2018.01217
28. Joshanloo, M., Lepshokova, Z. K., Panyusheva, T., Natalia, A., Poon, W. C., Yeung, V. W. lan, Sundaram, S., Achoui, M., Asano, R., Igarashi, T., Tsukamoto, S., Rizwan, M., Khilji, I. A., Ferreira, M. C., Pang, J. S., Ho, L. S., Han, G., Bae, J., & Jiang, D. Y. (2014). Cross-Cultural Validation of Fear of Happiness Scale Across 14 National Groups. Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 45(2), 246–264. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022113505357
29. Keulen, J., Deković, M., Oud, M., A-Tjak, J., & Bodden, D. (2025). The Efficacy of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for Transitional-Age Youth: A Meta-analysis. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review, 28(4), 823. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10567-025-00543-5
30. Li, W. W., Griffith, G. M., Shapiro, S., & Zhu, Z. (2025). The Evolution of Psychotherapies: From Psychoanalysis, Behavioural, and Cognitive-Behavioural Therapies to the Third-wave Psychotherapies. The Palgrave Handbook of Third-Wave Psychotherapies, 3–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-00353-9_1
31. Mak, Y. W., Leung, D. Y. P., & Loke, A. Y. (2020). Effectiveness of an individual acceptance and commitment therapy for smoking cessation, delivered face-to-face and by telephone to adults recruited in primary health care settings: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health, 20(1), 1719. https://doi.org/10.1186/S12889-020-09820-0
32. Masuda, A., Morgan, L., Spencer, S. D., Qina'au, J., & Jo, D. (2021). Cultural adaptations of acceptance and commitment therapy. The Oxford Handbook of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, 662–679. https://doi.org/10.1093/OXFORDHB/9780197550076.013.30
33. McCracken, L. M., & Morley, S. (2014). The psychological flexibility model: A basis for integration and progress in psychological approaches to chronic pain management. Journal of Pain, 15(3), 221–234. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JPAIN.2013.10.014
34. Ong, C. W., Lee, E. B., Krafft, J., Terry, C. L., Barrett, T. S., Levin, M. E., & Twohig, M. P. (2019). A randomized controlled trial of acceptance and commitment therapy for clinical perfectionism. Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders, 22. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOCRD.2019.100444
35. Öst, L. G. (2008). Efficacy of the third wave of behavioral therapies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 46(3), 296–321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2007.12.005
36. Özdel, K., & Turkcapar, M. H. (2025). Tridimensional model of CBT: a transdiagnostic and transtheoretical pragmatic model. Frontiers in Psychology, 16, 1555047. https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2025.1555047
37. Philippe, T. J., Sikder, N., Jackson, A., Koblanski, M. E., Liow, E., Pilarinos, A., & Vasarhelyi, K. (2022). Digital Health Interventions for Delivery of Mental Health Care: Systematic and Comprehensive Meta-Review. JMIR Mental Health, 9(5), e35159. https://doi.org/10.2196/35159
38. Rad, Y., Prudenzi, A., Zernerova, L., Gerson, J., & Flaxman, P. E. (2025). Effects of workplace acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) programs on psychological flexibility's subprocesses: A systematic review. Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science, 37, 100915. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCBS.2025.100915
39. Rolffs, J. L., Rogge, R. D., & Wilson, K. G. (2018). Disentangling components of flexibility via the hexaflex model: Development and validation of the Multidimensional Psychological Flexibility Inventory (MPFI). Assessment, 25(4), 458–482. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191116645905
40. Ruiz, F. J. (2012). Acceptance and commitment therapy versus traditional cognitive behavioral therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of current empirical evidence. International Journal of Psychology & Psychological Therapy, 12(3), 333–358.
41. Spermon, D., Darlington, Y., & Gibney, P. (2010). Psychodynamic psychotherapy for complex trauma: targets, focus, applications, and outcomes. Psychology Research and Behavior Management, 3, 119. https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S10215
42. Smout, M., Davies, M., Burns, N., & Christie, A. (2014). Development of the Valuing Questionnaire (VQ). Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science, 3(3), 164–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2014.06.001
43. Swain, J., Hancock, K., Hainsworth, C., & Bowman, J. (2013). Acceptance and commitment therapy in the treatment of anxiety: A systematic review. Clinical Psychology Review, 33(8), 965–978. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2013.07.002
44. Teague, G. B., Mueser, K. T., & Rapp, C. A. (2012). Advances in fidelity measurement for mental health services research. Psychiatric Services (Washington, D.C.), 63(8), 765. https://doi.org/10.1176/APPI.PS.201100430
45. Thapar, S., Quesada, D., & Knäuper, B. (2025). Therapy Companion Mobile App for Acceptance and Commitment Therapy Exercises (ACTaide): Therapist and Client Co-Design Study. JMIR Formative Research, 9, e69532. https://doi.org/10.2196/69532

46. Villatte, M., Villatte, J. L., & Hayes, S. C. (2016). Mastering the clinical conversation: Language as intervention. Guilford Press.
47. Villatte, J. L., Vilardaga, R., Villatte, M., Plumb Vilardaga, J. C., Atkins, D. C., & Hayes, S. C. (2015). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy Modules: Differential Impact on Treatment Processes and Outcomes. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 77, 52. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BRAT.2015.12.001
48. Yearby, R. (2018). Racial Disparities in Health Status and Access to Healthcare: The Continuation of Inequality in the United States Due to Structural Racism. American Journal of Economics and Sociology, 77(3–4), 1113–1152. https://doi.org/10.1111/AJES.12230
49. Zettle, R. D., & Hayes, S. C. (1986). Dysfunctional control by client verbal behavior: The context of reason-giving. The Analysis of Verbal Behavior, 4, 30–38. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03392813
50. Zhang, C. Q., Leeming, E., Smith, P., Chung, P. K., Hagger, M. S., & Hayes, S. C. (2018). Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for Health Behavior Change: A Contextually-Driven Approach. Frontiers in Psychology, 8(JAN), 2350. https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2017.02350