GMR
The Original

BEYOND CLEAN ENERGY NARRATIVES - ASSESSING THE LEGAL, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND INSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES OF NUCLEAR POWER IN ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN INDIA

R. Sheeja Rani[1*], Dr. Mahaveer Prasad Mali[2]

[1*]Research Scholar, NIMS School of Law, NIMS University, Jaipur, Rajasthan
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Law, NIMS University, Jaipur, Rajasthan

ABSTRACT
Nuclear power is increasingly promoted as a critical component of India's transition toward sustainable and low-carbon development. As India seeks to balance economic growth, energy security, and climate commitments, nuclear energy is frequently portrayed as a clean and reliable alternative to fossil fuels. However, sustainability assessments of nuclear power must extend beyond greenhouse gas reductions and incorporate legal, environmental, institutional, and social considerations. This paper critically examines the role of nuclear power in achieving sustainable development in India by evaluating the challenges associated with environmental protection, radioactive waste management, nuclear liability, regulatory governance, public participation, and institutional accountability. Through an analysis of statutory frameworks, policy developments, judicial decisions, and energy sector trends, the study argues that although nuclear energy contributes to climate mitigation objectives, significant governance and sustainability concerns continue to undermine its long-term viability. The paper concludes that nuclear power can contribute meaningfully to sustainable development only if accompanied by comprehensive legal reforms, strengthened regulatory institutions, transparent decision-making processes, and effective environmental safeguards.

Keywords - Nuclear Power, Sustainable Development, India, Nuclear Liability, Environmental Governance, Energy Security, Climate Change, Regulatory Institutions.

INTRODUCTION

Sustainable development has emerged as one of the most influential principles guiding contemporary environmental and energy policymaking. Originating from global concerns regarding environmental degradation and economic inequality, sustainable development seeks to balance economic growth, environmental protection, and social welfare for present and future generations (Introduction, 2007). The concept has become particularly significant in the energy sector because energy production and consumption directly influence economic development, industrialization, environmental quality, and human well-being.

India faces a unique challenge in achieving sustainable development. As one of the world's fastest-growing economies, the country requires substantial increases in electricity generation to support industrial expansion, urbanization, technological innovation, and poverty reduction. At the same time, India remains committed to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and advancing global climate objectives. Consequently, policymakers have increasingly explored alternative energy sources capable of delivering reliable electricity while minimizing environmental impacts (Raihan, 2020).

Within this context, nuclear power has acquired renewed prominence. Nuclear energy is often described as a low-carbon technology capable of producing large quantities of electricity without the direct greenhouse gas emissions associated with coal and natural gas. According to the International Atomic Energy Agency, nuclear energy can contribute significantly to sustainable development by supporting energy security, economic growth, technological innovation, and climate change mitigation (IAEA, 2016). Similarly, Islam et al. (2026) observe that advances in reactor technology have strengthened arguments in favor of nuclear power as a component of long-term sustainable energy strategies.

Despite these potential benefits, nuclear power remains one of the most contested energy sources in the world. Critics argue that sustainability assessments focusing primarily on carbon emissions fail to account for broader concerns such as radioactive waste disposal, environmental contamination, nuclear accidents, public health risks, and intergenerational obligations (Azapagic & Perdan, 2010). The catastrophic consequences of incidents such as the Chernobyl and Fukushima disasters continue to shape public perceptions regarding nuclear safety and sustainability.

The debate assumes particular significance in India, where nuclear expansion plans intersect with complex legal, environmental, and institutional realities. While successive governments have emphasized nuclear energy as a strategic instrument for achieving energy security and sustainable development, questions remain regarding the adequacy of existing regulatory frameworks, environmental safeguards, and accountability mechanisms. These concerns are

compounded by issues relating to land acquisition, public participation, nuclear liability, and radioactive waste management.

The relationship between nuclear power and sustainable development therefore requires a multidimensional assessment. Sustainable development cannot be measured solely through reductions in carbon emissions; it must also incorporate considerations of environmental integrity, social justice, institutional effectiveness, and long-term governance capacity (Francis & Lerner, 2007). A comprehensive evaluation of nuclear power must therefore examine whether legal and institutional systems are capable of managing the risks associated with nuclear technology over extended periods.

This paper critically evaluates the role of nuclear power within India's sustainable development framework. It examines the conceptual relationship between nuclear energy and sustainability, traces the evolution of India's nuclear programme, and assesses the legal, environmental, and institutional challenges affecting the sector. The analysis seeks to move beyond simplistic clean-energy narratives and provide a more balanced understanding of nuclear power's contribution to sustainable development in India.

NUCLEAR POWER AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

The connection between nuclear power and sustainable development has generated substantial academic and policy debate. Supporters argue that nuclear energy represents a necessary component of global decarbonization efforts because it provides reliable baseload electricity with minimal operational greenhouse gas emissions. Opponents contend that sustainability assessments must account for the entire nuclear fuel cycle, including uranium mining, waste disposal, decommissioning costs, and accident risks (Azapagic & Perdan, 2010).

The concept of sustainable development rests upon the integration of three fundamental pillars: economic development, environmental protection, and social equity (Introduction, 2007). An energy source can be considered sustainable only if it contributes positively to each of these dimensions while minimizing adverse long-term consequences. Consequently, evaluating nuclear power requires a broader perspective than merely assessing its carbon footprint.

From an environmental standpoint, nuclear power offers important advantages. Nuclear reactors generate electricity without producing significant quantities of carbon dioxide during operation. Hans Blix argued that nuclear energy can play an important role in reducing global dependence on fossil fuels while supporting environmental protection objectives (Blix, 1997). Similarly, the International Atomic Energy Agency has emphasized that nuclear power contributes to climate action by enabling countries to reduce emissions without sacrificing economic growth (IAEA, 2016).

The economic dimension of sustainable development also supports certain arguments in favor of nuclear energy. Reliable electricity supply remains essential for industrialization, technological innovation, and economic competitiveness. Raihan (2020) notes that sustained industrial development requires stable energy infrastructure capable of meeting growing demand. Nuclear power can contribute to this objective by providing continuous electricity generation independent of weather conditions, thereby complementing renewable energy sources such as solar and wind.

However, sustainability assessments must also consider long-term environmental risks. Nuclear waste remains hazardous for thousands of years and requires sophisticated storage and monitoring systems. According to Kumar (2019), radioactive waste management represents one of the most significant challenges confronting the nuclear industry because future generations may bear the responsibility of managing materials produced today. This intergenerational dimension complicates claims that nuclear energy is inherently sustainable.

Social sustainability presents additional challenges. Communities located near nuclear facilities frequently express concerns regarding safety, environmental contamination, displacement, and emergency preparedness. Public acceptance has become a critical factor influencing nuclear projects worldwide. Kettel (2007) emphasizes that sustainable development requires equitable treatment of affected communities and meaningful public participation in decision-making processes. Consequently, the legitimacy of nuclear development depends not only upon technical safety standards but also upon democratic governance and social inclusion.

Institutional capacity constitutes another essential component of sustainability. Effective regulation, transparent decision-making, independent oversight, and accountability mechanisms are necessary to ensure safe nuclear operations. Francis and Lerner (2007) argue that sustainable development cannot be achieved without institutional transformation capable of integrating environmental, social, and economic objectives. In the nuclear sector, this requirement assumes particular importance because the consequences of regulatory failures can be severe and long-lasting.

Table 1 - Sustainability Assessment of Nuclear Power

Sustainability Dimension	Potential Contributions of Nuclear Power	Key Concerns
Environmental	Low-carbon electricity generation	Radioactive waste and accident risks
Economic	Reliable baseload power and energy security	High construction and decommissioning costs
Social	Improved electricity access	Public opposition and displacement concerns
Institutional	Technological advancement	Regulatory independence and transparency
Intergenerational	Long-term energy availability	Long-lived radioactive waste burden

The debate surrounding nuclear power therefore reflects broader disagreements regarding the meaning of sustainable development itself. While proponents emphasize climate benefits and energy security, critics highlight environmental uncertainty, institutional weaknesses, and social justice concerns. A balanced assessment requires consideration of all these dimensions rather than reliance upon a single indicator such as carbon emissions (Grimston, 2006).

EVOLUTION OF INDIA'S NUCLEAR ENERGY PROGRAMME

India's nuclear programme emerged shortly after independence as part of a broader strategy aimed at achieving scientific self-reliance and technological modernization. Recognizing the importance of advanced technology for national development, Indian policymakers invested heavily in nuclear research and infrastructure. The programme was largely shaped by the vision of Homi J. Bhabha, who advocated the peaceful use of atomic energy as an instrument of economic progress and national development.

The legal foundation of India's nuclear programme was established through the Atomic Energy Act, 1962, which granted extensive powers to the Central Government over the development, control, and utilization of atomic energy. The legislation facilitated the creation of specialized institutions responsible for nuclear research, reactor development, and policy implementation. Over time, the Department of Atomic Energy and the Nuclear Power Corporation of India Limited emerged as the principal institutions governing the nuclear sector.

A defining feature of India's nuclear strategy has been its three-stage nuclear programme. The first stage focuses on Pressurized Heavy Water Reactors utilizing natural uranium. The second stage involves Fast Breeder Reactors designed to maximize fuel utilization. The third stage envisions the large-scale deployment of thorium-based reactors, taking advantage of India's substantial thorium reserves (Nuclear Power Corporation of India, 1992). This long-term strategy reflects concerns regarding energy security and resource sustainability.

The pursuit of nuclear energy has also been linked closely with broader development objectives. Policymakers have consistently argued that nuclear power can reduce dependence on imported fossil fuels, strengthen energy security, and support industrial growth. These objectives align with the broader sustainable development goal of creating reliable infrastructure capable of sustaining economic transformation (Raihan, 2020).

The expansion of India's nuclear programme accelerated following international cooperation agreements during the twenty-first century. In particular, the India–United States Civil Nuclear Agreement significantly improved India's access to global nuclear technology and fuel markets. The agreement facilitated increased investment in nuclear infrastructure while simultaneously generating debates regarding safety regulation, liability mechanisms, and environmental oversight.

The growing importance of climate change has further strengthened governmental support for nuclear energy. As concerns regarding carbon emissions intensify, nuclear power is increasingly presented as a strategic tool for achieving climate commitments while maintaining economic growth. Chen and Yang (2025) observe that many countries are reconsidering nuclear energy as part of broader low-carbon development strategies. India's policy trajectory reflects this global trend.

However, the expansion of nuclear energy has also generated significant controversy. Environmental organizations, local communities, and civil society groups have questioned the sustainability of nuclear projects, particularly with respect to environmental impacts, land acquisition processes, and disaster preparedness. These concerns underscore the importance of examining nuclear power not merely as an energy technology but as a governance challenge requiring effective legal and institutional responses.

LEGAL CHALLENGES IN ACHIEVING SUSTAINABLE NUCLEAR DEVELOPMENT

The sustainability of nuclear power depends significantly upon the effectiveness of the legal framework governing safety, liability, environmental protection, and public accountability. While India has developed an extensive legal structure regulating atomic energy, several concerns continue to undermine the credibility and effectiveness of nuclear governance. Scholars have increasingly argued that legal certainty and regulatory accountability are indispensable prerequisites for achieving sustainable development through nuclear energy (Lizikova, 2020).

One of the most controversial aspects of India's nuclear regime concerns liability for nuclear accidents. The Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act, 2010 was enacted following international debates regarding compensation mechanisms for victims of nuclear disasters. Unlike many international liability frameworks, the Indian legislation permits a limited right of recourse against suppliers under specified circumstances. While supporters argue that this provision strengthens accountability, critics contend that uncertainty regarding liability may discourage foreign investment and technological cooperation. The challenge lies in balancing commercial interests with the fundamental objective of protecting citizens and the environment from catastrophic harm (Lizikova, 2020).

Environmental regulation represents another significant concern. Nuclear projects require environmental clearances under various statutory frameworks, including environmental impact assessment procedures. However, critics frequently argue that environmental assessments for nuclear installations often fail to adequately address long-term risks relating to radioactive contamination, biodiversity impacts, and emergency preparedness. Sustainable development requires precautionary decision-making capable of addressing low-probability but high-impact environmental risks, particularly where irreversible consequences may arise (Kudryavtseva et al., 2018).

The Indian judiciary has played an important role in addressing disputes relating to nuclear development. In G. Sundarrajan v. Union of India (2013) 6 SCC 620, concerning the Kudankulam Nuclear Power Plant, the Supreme Court upheld the validity of the project while emphasizing the importance of safety standards, environmental protection, and continuous regulatory monitoring. The Court observed that economic development and energy security must be balanced with environmental considerations and public safety (G. Sundarrajan v. Union of India, 2013). The decision reflects the judiciary's attempt to reconcile developmental objectives with sustainable development principles.

Similarly, in M.K. Sharma v. Bharat Electronics Ltd. (1987) and related environmental jurisprudence, Indian courts emphasized the importance of precautionary approaches where activities involve potentially hazardous technologies.

These principles later became integral components of India's environmental governance framework and continue to influence debates regarding nuclear installations.

The legal framework also faces challenges relating to transparency and public participation. Sustainable development requires inclusive governance structures that enable affected communities to participate meaningfully in decision-making processes. However, nuclear projects often involve significant secrecy due to national security considerations. This tension between transparency and security complicates efforts to establish democratic legitimacy and public trust. According to Kaur (2019), sustainable development in India increasingly requires governance models that integrate participation, accountability, and institutional responsiveness.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES AND SUSTAINABILITY CONCERNS

The environmental sustainability of nuclear power remains one of the most contested aspects of contemporary energy policy. Although nuclear reactors produce minimal greenhouse gas emissions during operation, sustainability assessments must evaluate environmental impacts across the entire life cycle of nuclear energy production. These impacts include uranium mining, fuel processing, water consumption, radioactive waste generation, plant decommissioning, and accident risks (Azapagic & Perdan, 2010).

Radioactive waste management constitutes perhaps the most significant environmental challenge facing the nuclear sector. High-level radioactive waste remains hazardous for thousands of years, creating long-term obligations extending far beyond the operational life of nuclear facilities. Kumar (2019) argues that sustainable development requires ensuring that present energy choices do not impose disproportionate burdens upon future generations. The absence of universally accepted permanent disposal solutions continues to generate uncertainty regarding the long-term sustainability of nuclear power.

Water resource management presents another critical challenge. Nuclear power plants require substantial quantities of water for cooling purposes. In a country such as India, where water scarcity increasingly affects agricultural productivity and human welfare, competing demands for water resources raise important sustainability concerns. Shah and Das Chowdhury (2020) note that resource management conflicts frequently emerge when developmental objectives intersect with environmental constraints.

Environmental risks associated with severe nuclear accidents also remain a significant concern despite advances in reactor safety technologies. The experiences of Chernobyl and Fukushima demonstrate that low-probability events can generate devastating ecological, social, and economic consequences. Kudryavtseva et al. (2018) observe that sustainable development assessments must account for catastrophic risk scenarios rather than focusing exclusively on routine operational performance.

Table 2 - Environmental Benefits and Risks of Nuclear Power

Environmental Aspect	Positive Impact	Sustainability Challenge
Carbon Emissions	Very low operational emissions	Fuel cycle emissions remain
Air Pollution	Minimal compared to coal	Mining-related impacts
Land Use	Lower than many renewables	Site-specific ecological impacts
Water Resources	Supports reliable generation	High cooling-water requirements
Waste Generation	Limited volume	Long-term radioactive waste
Accident Risk	Rare occurrence	Potentially catastrophic consequences

NUCLEAR ENERGY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Available energy statistics illustrate both the potential and limitations of nuclear power in India's sustainable development strategy. According to the Government of India and the International Atomic Energy Agency, India's installed nuclear power capacity exceeded 8 GW by 2025, contributing approximately 3% of total electricity generation, while coal continued to account for more than 70% of electricity production. India's per capita electricity consumption has increased significantly over the past decade, reflecting rising developmental needs. At the same time, the country has committed to reducing emissions intensity and expanding non-fossil energy sources. Nuclear energy is expected to contribute to these objectives through planned reactor expansions, including indigenous Pressurized Heavy Water Reactors and future thorium-based technologies (Chen & Yang, 2025; IAEA, 2016). However, renewable energy capacity has expanded far more rapidly, raising questions regarding the comparative cost-effectiveness and scalability of nuclear investments within India's broader sustainable development framework (Mujtaba, Sahoo, & Jena, 2025).

The empirical evidence therefore suggests that while nuclear energy contributes to climate mitigation objectives, its actual contribution to electricity generation remains relatively modest. Consequently, policymakers must evaluate whether the environmental, legal, and institutional costs associated with nuclear expansion are justified by the resulting developmental advantages.

INSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES AND GOVERNANCE DEFICITS

Institutional effectiveness represents a fundamental requirement for sustainable nuclear development. Francis and Lerner (2007) emphasize that sustainable development cannot be achieved without institutional transformation capable of integrating environmental, social, and economic objectives. In the context of nuclear governance, institutional capacity becomes particularly important because regulatory failures may produce severe and long-lasting consequences.

One frequently discussed concern relates to the independence of nuclear regulatory institutions. Critics argue that the concentration of promotional and regulatory functions within closely connected institutional structures may create conflicts of interest. Effective sustainability governance requires regulatory bodies capable of exercising independent oversight free from political or commercial pressures (Lizikova, 2020).

Public trust also remains an important institutional challenge. Community resistance to proposed nuclear projects frequently reflects concerns regarding safety, transparency, compensation, and environmental protection. Sustainable development requires governance systems that are perceived as legitimate, accountable, and responsive to public concerns. Menon argues that inclusive development strategies must ensure that technological advancement does not occur at the expense of social equity and democratic participation (Menon, n.d.).

Furthermore, sustainable development goals cannot be achieved solely through technological innovation. Poverty reduction, public health, environmental protection, and social inclusion remain interconnected dimensions of sustainability (Bici, 2023; Agrawal & Gandhi, 2025). Consequently, nuclear policy must be evaluated within a broader developmental framework rather than as an isolated energy strategy.

The institutional challenge for India therefore lies not merely in expanding nuclear capacity but in creating governance structures capable of balancing economic development, environmental stewardship, and social justice. Without such institutional reforms, nuclear energy may struggle to achieve the broader objectives associated with sustainable development.

CONCLUSION

Nuclear power occupies a complex position within India's sustainable development strategy. While it offers significant advantages in terms of low-carbon electricity generation, energy security, and support for industrial growth, its sustainability cannot be assessed solely through climate mitigation benefits. The study demonstrates that nuclear energy continues to face substantial legal, environmental, and institutional challenges, including radioactive waste management, accident risks, regulatory accountability, public participation, and liability concerns.

REFERENCES

1. Islam, M. R., Behi, B., Tubbal, F., Guo, Q., Nikolic, S., & Raad, R. (2026). Nuclear power. In Synthesis lectures on sustainable development (pp. 51–59). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-19517-3_5
2. Raihan, S. (2020). Avoiding premature deindustrialization in India: Achieving SDG9. In Sustainable development goals (pp. 139–151). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42488-6_9
3. International Atomic Energy Agency. (2016). Nuclear power and sustainable development. International Atomic Energy Agency.
4. Kumar, M. H. (2019). Nuclear power and sustainable development. Arcler Education Inc.
5. Nuclear Power Corporation of India. (Ed.). (1992). Indian nuclear power programme with pressurised heavy water reactors. Nuclear Power Corporation.
6. Chen, J., & Yang, Z. (2025). Nuclear power generation. In Resources, climate and sustainable development (pp. 227–270). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-0160-1_5
7. Azapagic, A., & Perdan, S. (2010). Sustainability of nuclear power. In Sustainable development in practice (pp. 211–260). John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470972847.ch9
8. Blix, H. (1997). Sustainable development and nuclear power. Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology, 34(2), 97–101. https://doi.org/10.1080/18811248.1997.9733634
9. Grimston, M. (2006). Sustainable development and nuclear power. Energy & Environment, 17(2), 181–190. https://doi.org/10.1260/095830506777070150
10. Shah, T., & Das Chowdhury, S. (2020). Farm power policies and groundwater markets. In Sustainable development in India (pp. 226–247). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003036074-13
11. Introduction. (2007). In Achieving sustainable development (pp. xi–xiv). University of British Columbia Press. https://doi.org/10.59962/9780774853750-003
12. Francis, G., & Lerner, S. (2007). Making sustainable development happen: Institutional transformation. In Achieving sustainable development (pp. 146–159). University of British Columbia Press. https://doi.org/10.59962/9780774853750-009
13. Kettel, B. (2007). Putting women and the environment first: Poverty alleviation and sustainable development. In Achieving sustainable development (pp. 160–181). University of British Columbia Press. https://doi.org/10.59962/9780774853750-010
14. Kudryavtseva, O. V., Derkach, A. S., Manushko, S. V., Nesvetov, F. D., Pekarev, S. V., & Chetvertakov, V. S. (2018). Nuclear power in frames of sustainable development. Scientific Research of Faculty of Economics. Electronic Journal, 10(4), 33–49. https://doi.org/10.38050/2078-3809-2018-10-4-33-49
15. 95/01511 Sustainable development and nuclear power. (1995). Fuel and Energy Abstracts, 36(2), 103. https://doi.org/10.1016/0140-6701(95)93176-7
16. International Conference on Sustainable Energy Development. (2006). Green power 5: Development & management of resources and energy security: Conference proceedings. India Core.
17. Bici, R. (2022). Challenges on achieving sustainable development goals: Poverty prediction in India. International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management, 1(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICBM.2022.10050051

18. Bici, R. (2023). Challenges on achieving sustainable development goals: Poverty prediction in India. International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management, 30(4), 537–553. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICBM.2023.136205
19. Yathishkumar. (2019). The role of CSR in achieving sustainable development in India. Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities, 6(S2), 176–182. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2635140
20. Mujtaba, A., Sahoo, P., & Jena, P. K. (2025). Macroeconomic modelling towards achieving Sustainable Development Goal-13 in India. Bulletin of Monetary Economics and Banking, 28, 11–40. https://doi.org/10.59091/2460-9196.2377
21. Agrawal, S., & Gandhi, V. (2025). The role of health for achieving sustainable development of India. International Journal of Social Science and Education Research, 7(2), 390–393. https://doi.org/10.33545/26649845.2025.v7.i2e.370
22. Menon, M. G. K. (n.d.). Inclusive sustainable development. In The Other India: Realities of an Emerging Power (pp. 58–72). SAGE Publications India. https://doi.org/10.4135/9788132104216.n7
23. Das Sarma, I. B., & Sahasrabhojanee, A. P. (2017). Nuclear power in India: Viability of thorium fuel utilization. In International Conference on Science and Engineering for Sustainable Development. Infogain Publication. https://doi.org/10.24001/icsesd2017.22
24. Kaur, H. (2019). Achieving sustainable development goals in India. In The Cambridge handbook of corporate law, corporate governance and sustainability (pp. 460–474). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108658386.039
25. Lizikova, M. (2020). Nuclear energy prospects and legal challenges towards achieving sustainable development goals. E3S Web of Conferences, 208, 02009. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202020802009